

Photodegradation for Nutrient Management in the Dry Tropics

Bente Foereid^{1,2}

¹Department of Crop and Soil Science, Cornell University, Ithaca, USA; ²Present Address: Simbios, University of Abertay, Dundee, UK.

Email: b.foereid@abertay.ac.uk

Received December 9th, 2011; revised January 10th, 2012; accepted January 19th, 2012

ABSTRACT

Nutrient limitation in agriculture is a big problem in many tropical areas. Much research has focused on the optimal use of crop residues in agriculture. Recent work indicates that plant residue decomposition rates, including nutrient release rates can be increased by pre-exposure to sunlight. This has potential as a technology to manipulate nutrient release from residues to match crop demands. The possible development and use of this technology is discussed. There is some evidence that this could increase emissions of trace gases, but the increase is thought to be small. Research needs are discussed.

Keywords: Photodegradation; Tropical Agriculture; Nutrient Management; Greenhouse Gas Balance

1. Introduction

In many tropical regions, particularly Africa, mineral fertilizers are too expensive to be used extensively by most farmers. Efficient use of organic residues as fertilizers is therefore important, and there is a large literature on this topic [1,2]. Particularly high quality plant litter and residues that can release most of their nutrients during peak crop growth are valuable. Attempts to mix different types of residues to manipulate nutrient release rate to much crop demand as closely as possible have been met with varying success.

Several recent studies have indicated that photodegradation, enhancement of decomposition rate caused by exposure to light, may play an important role in plant residue decomposition and in the carbon cycle in semi-arid ecosystems where other climatic factors appear less important [3-9]. These environments are characterized by high radiation levels coinciding with low vegetation cover for at least part of the year, meaning that the degrading litter on the soil surface will be exposed to high radiation. The mechanism for how photodegradation happens is still imperfectly known, but our earlier experiment indicates that photodegradation may work by increasing subsequent microbial decomposition rate in the wet season [10]. Substantial photo-exposure appears to be necessary, as the effect was not found when plant litter was exposed to lower amounts of radiation [10-12]. The mechanism for how photo-exposure affects litter mass loss is insufficiently known, but recent work suggests that it particularly af-

fects the degradation of lignin, the plant compound most resistant to microbial degradation [13]. The effect of photo-exposure on nitrogen mineralization has been less studied, but there is some evidence that this may increase rate of nitrogen as well as carbon release from the litter substantially [5,10]. In particular, the evidence suggests that photo-exposure can make at least some of the nitrogen in plant litter mineralize very quickly [10]. It is possible that this is related to degradation of lignin as lignin is an important compound in the plant cell wall, and degradation of this wall could make more easily degradable and nitrogen rich cell components leak out. Not much is known about how photodegradation is affected by other environmental factors. However, there is some evidence that wet litter is broken down faster when exposed to light [14]. Foereid *et al.* [10] also found that temperature alone has some effect on litter decay rate, but the interaction with light is not well known.

This poses the question: Can photo-exposure be used intentionally as a technology to manipulate nutrient release rate from crop residues to match crop demand? In this paper I outline this idea, and discuss research needs to develop the technology.

2. Potential Use of Photodegradation in Agriculture

Photodegradation has until now only been studied as an ecological process, and its role in the carbon cycle [4,9]. However, if rate of nitrogen release can be manipulated

by previous exposure to sunlight, this gives new opportunities timing nitrogen release from crop residues and other organic material to crop demand in agriculture. This will be the case everywhere there is a marked dry season and cropped fields are mostly bare and can be used to spread the residues. Furthermore, this offers an opportunity to make “low quality” litter that normally will not release much of its nutrients during the cropping season more useful. Photodegradation could be taken advantage of in agriculture by leaving the residues on the ground for the required amount of time, and then digging them under. How long exposure that is required for various sorts of residues would have to be calibrated through experimentation. However in general it would be expected that the more the nitrogen release rate needs to be increased to match crop demand, the longer the exposure needed, as our earlier experiment showed that the shortest exposure time changed nitrogen release rate much less than the longest exposure time [10].

There is a need to try out this system in the field to assess if the benefits are substantial, and the system needs to be optimized. If a simple relationship between amount of radiation received and nutrient release rate can be found, the optimal exposure time only needs to be tested in a few sites and predictions can be made for other sites. Previous work has shown that a fairly consistent relationship between radiation exposure and carbon mineralization can be developed [9]. There is also evidence that the effect of photo-exposure depends on lignin content [13], meaning that it may also be possible to generalize between litter types. The effect of wavelength distribution is imperfectly known [13], and the length of photo-exposure may have to be calibrated separately for areas with very different wavelength distribution.

In addition, potential drawbacks need to be assessed. One of them is the greenhouse gas balance of the system. Primarily methane release needs to be quantified. There is now ample evidence that both live and dead plant material emit low amounts of methane when exposed to UV radiation [15-17]. This emission is likely to be fairly small, but still needs to be quantified. In addition, Foereid *et al.* [10] showed that nitrous oxide emission increases after photo-exposure in an incubation experiment. It is likely that this can be partly or completely mitigated when plants are present to take up the available nitrogen (indeed that is the purpose of the system). However, careful quantification of the greenhouse gas balance of the system, as well as assessment of mitigation options is needed.

This idea points to research needs of both basic and applied nature. The mechanism of how photo-exposure increases the release rate of nitrogen is not well understood. It is not merely following the increased carbon release as the timing of nitrogen release is different [10]. This can be studied in laboratory incubations. It also needs to be

assessed how photodegradation interacts with temperature and moisture. To make use of the process in agriculture field experiments under realistic conditions are needed. The system needs to be optimized under realistic field conditions. The technology will only be viable in areas where agricultural fields are left bare in periods with high radiation, *i.e.* areas with a dry season. Still there will be a range of variation in other climatic factors (temperature and moisture) within those areas, and their interaction with photodegradation needs to be assessed. The greenhouse gas balance of the system also needs to be assessed, as well as potential mitigation options.

3. Conclusion

Taking advantage of the effect of photo-exposure on nutrient release rate has potential for use in agriculture. The exact amount of time litter needs to be exposed to sunlight needs to be calibrated, as well as potential effects on greenhouse gas emissions.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Gentile, B. Vanlauwe, P. Chivenge and J. Six, “Trade-Offs between the Short- and Long-Term Effects of Residue Quality on Soil C and N Dynamics,” *Plant and Soil*, Vol. 338, No. 1-2, 2011, pp. 159-169. [doi:10.1007/s11104-010-0360-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-010-0360-z)
- [2] C. A. Palm, K. E. Giller, P. L. Mafongoya and M. J. Swift, “Management of Organic Matter in the Tropics: Translating Theory into Practice,” *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystem*, Vol. 61, No. 1-2, 2001, pp. 63-75. [doi:10.1023/A:1013318210809](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1013318210809)
- [3] V. A. Pancotto, O. E. Sala, T. M. Robson, M. M. Caldwell and A. L. Scopel, “Direct and Indirect Effects of Solar Ultraviolet-B Radiation on Long-Term Decomposition,” *Global Change Biology*, Vol. 11, No. 11, 2005, pp. 1982-1989. [doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2005.1027.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2005.1027.x)
- [4] A. T. Austin and L. Vivanco, “Plant Litter Decomposition in a Semi-Arid Ecosystem Controlled by Photodegradation,” *Nature*, Vol. 442, 2006, pp. 555-558.
- [5] W. Parton, W. L. Silver, I. C. Burke, L. Grassens, M. E. Harmon, W. S. Currie, J. Y. King, E. C. Adair, L. A. Brandt, S. C. Hart and B. Fasth, “Global-Scale Similarities in Nitrogen Release Patterns During Long-Term Decomposition,” *Science*, Vol. 315, No. 5810, 2007, pp. 361-364. [doi:10.1126/science.1134853](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1134853)
- [6] G. Tian, M. Badejo, A. Okoh, F. Ishida, G. Kolawole, Y. Hayashi, and F. Salako, “Effects of Residue Quality and Climate on Plant Residue Decomposition and Nutrient Release along the Transect from Humid Forest to Sahel of West Africa,” *Biogeochemistry*, Vol. 86, No. 2, 2007, pp. 217-229. [doi:10.1007/s10533-007-9158-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-007-9158-3)
- [7] T. A. Day, E. T. Zhang and C. T. Ruhland, “Exposure to Solar UV-B radiation Accelerates Mass and Lignin Loss of *Larrea tridentata* Litter in the Sonoran Desert,” *Plant Ecology*, Vol. 193, No. 2, 2007, pp. 185-194. [doi:10.1007/s11258-006-9257-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-006-9257-6)

- [8] K. L. Vanderbilt, C. S. White, O. Hopkins and J. A. Craig, "Aboveground Decomposition in Arid Environments: Results of a Long-Term Study in Central New Mexico," *Journal of Arid Environments*, Vol. 72, No. 5, 2008, pp. 696-709. [doi:10.1016/j.jaridenv.2007.10.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2007.10.010)
- [9] B. Foereid, M. J. Rivero, O. Primo and I. Ortiz, "Modelling Photodegradation in the Global Carbon Cycle," *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, Vol. 43, No. 6, 2011, pp. 1383-1386. [doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.03.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.03.004)
- [10] B. Foereid, J. Bellarby, W. Meier-Augenstein and H. Kemp, "Does Light Exposure Make Plant Litter More Degradable?" *Plant and Soil*, Vol. 333, No.1-2, 2010, pp. 275-285. [doi:10.1007/s11104-010-0342-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-010-0342-1)
- [11] L. A. Brandt, C. Bohnet and K. Y. King, "Photochemically Induced Carbon Dioxide Production as a Mechanism for Carbon as a Mechanism for Carbon Loss from Plant Litter in Arid Ecosystems," *Journal of Geophysical Research*, Vol. 114, 2009, p. 13. [doi:10.1029/2008JG000772](https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JG000772)
- [12] M. U. F. Kirschbaum, S. M. Lambie and H. Zhou, "No UV Enhancement of Litter Decomposition Observed on Dry Samples under Controlled Laboratory Conditions," *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, Vol. 43, No. 6, 2011, pp. 1300-1307. [doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.03.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.03.001)
- [13] A. T. Austin and C. L. Ballare, "Dual Role of Lignin in Plant Litter Decomposition in Terrestrial Ecosystems," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, Vol. 107, No. 10, 2010, pp. 4618-4622. [doi:10.1073/pnas.0909396107](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0909396107)
- [14] R. G. Zepp, D. J. Erickson, N. D. Paulc and B. Sulzberger "Interactive Effects of Solar UV Radiation and Climate Change on Biogeochemical Cycling," *Photochemical & Photobiological Sciences*, Vol. 6, 2007, pp. 286-300. [doi:10.1039/b700021a](https://doi.org/10.1039/b700021a)
- [15] F. Keppler, J. T. G. Hamilton, M. Bass and T. Rockmann, "Methane Emissions from Terrestrial Plants under Aerobic Conditions," *Nature*, Vol. 439, 2006, pp. 187-191.
- [16] A. R. McLeod, S. C. Fry, G. J. Loake, D. J. Messenger, D. S. Reay, K. A. Smith and B.-W. Yun, "Ultraviolet Radiation Drives Methane Emissions from Terrestrial Plant pectins," *New Phytologist*, Vol. 180, No. 1, 2008, pp. 124-132. [doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02571.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02571.x)
- [17] R. E. R. Nisbeth, R. Fisher, R. H. Nimmo, D. S. Bendall, P. M. Crill, A. V. Gallego-Sala, E. R. C. Hornibrook, E. Lopez-Juez, D. Lowry, P. B. R. Nisbeth, E. F. Shuckburgh, S. Sriskantharajah, C. J. Howe and E. G. Nisbeth, "Emission of Methane from Plants," *Proceedings of the Royal Society*, Vol. 276, No. 1660, 2009, pp. 1347-1354.