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Abstract

The recently developed transparent soil consists of particles of Nafion, a polymer with a low refractive index (RI), which is prepared by milling and chemical treatment for use as a soil analogue. After the addition of a RI-matched solution, confocal imaging can be carried out in vivo and without destructive sampling. In a previous study, we showed that the new substrate
provides a good approximation of plant growth conditions found in natural soils. In this
paper, we present further development of the techniques for detailed quantitative analysis of
images of root-microbe interactions in situ. Using this system it was possible for the first time
to analyse bacterial distribution along the roots and in the bulk substrate in vivo. These
findings indicate that the coupling of transparent soil with light microscopy is an important
advance towards the discovery of the mechanisms of microbial colonisation of the
rhizosphere.

TEXT

Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) enhance plant health and yield via complex
interactions with the roots and soil. Rhizobacteria can offer the plant protection from
pathogenic microorganisms by outcompeting them and through the promotion of plant
growth via the release of plant hormones. They can also aid plant uptake of nutrients via the
rhizosphere, for example by releasing iron-scavenging siderophores. The spatial and
temporal heterogeneity of soil and the rhizosphere undoubtedly influences the communities
and function of bacteria which inhabit niches where nutrients are available in soil. However,
studying the interactions between soil bacteria and their physical habitat is currently very
challenging partly due to the lack of conventional laboratory techniques and protocols. Light
microscopy cannot be used to observe soil in depth because soil is opaque. X-ray imaging
techniques are suitable for studying the soil structure but cannot simultaneously resolve
microorganisms. Although many molecular methods can be used to identify the structure of
soil microbial communities, most do not provide insight into their spatial arrangements. In
contrast, recent applications of FISH (fluorescent in situ hybridization) have proved
successful to analyse spatial distribution of microorganisms in soil, but the method is not
suitable to study dynamic processes because samples need to be fixed prior to imaging.
Previously, we published a study describing a new transparent soil analogue for imaging plant roots using optical microscopy. It consists of a matrix of solid particles of the low refractive index (RI) ionomer, Nafion, water with plant nutrients and air. Transparent soil can be saturated with a RI matched liquid to reveal biological structures within. Further to this work, we have applied transparent soil to the observation of PGPR spatial interactions with roots and soil particles non-destructively, in vivo and in situ. Quantitative analysis methods were developed to study the spatial distribution of PGPR *Pseudomonas fluorescens* SBW25 in transparent soil, on the surface of *Lactuca sativa* (lettuce) roots and in the surrounding transparent soil, in relation to the pore geometry. The effect of substrate parameters on the colonisation of roots was also tested by varying the substrate particle size. The aims were to measure the effect of plants and substrate on the abundance of PGPR both on root and on the surrounding particles. After inoculation of the transparent substrate with a culture of GFP-tagged *P. fluorescens*, one day old *L. sativa* seedlings were added to the microcosms. The microcosms were sealed and incubated for 5 days allowing the plants to grow and the bacteria to colonise the roots. The transparency of the substrate allowed images to be captured on a 3D grid using confocal microscopy, thus sampling the microbial abundance at points along the roots and in the bulk soil at 2 distances from the root (supplementary information, figure S1). Fluorescent labelling with a range of fluorophores allowed discrimination of bacteria (GFP), root tissue (calcofluor) and the surfaces of solid Nafion particles (sulphorhodamine-B) (Figure 1), which facilitated image analysis (Figure 2).

Bacteria were most abundant on the root surfaces, or rhizoplane, and on the surfaces of Nafion particles (Figure 1). Colonisation on the root surface was concentrated in the intercellular junctions of the root epidermal cells (visual observation in 3 samples, e.g. Figure 1C), which was similar to observations of field-grown wheat roots. Watt *et al.* quantified
the fraction of the volume of soil occupied by *Pseudomonas* spp. found in wheat rhizospheres. Results showed that on average 15% of the soil volume was occupied by *Pseudomonas* spp. We did not characterise the colonisation of lettuce root by *Pseudomonas* spp. in soil, however, the overall mean rhizosphere volume occupied by *P. fluorescens* in the present study is of the same order of magnitude (10%) as those measured by Watt *et al.*

Further studies comparing rhizosphere colonisation with the same plant and bacterial species in both soil and transparent soil would allow a more accurate comparison of the two substrates for this application. Bacterial fluorescence was detected in the pore spaces of the substrate, although at a lower level than on the surfaces (Figures 1, 2A). Image analysis also revealed that the abundance of bacteria in positions with no roots (Figure 2Bi, positions A1-3 and B1-3), was constant and independent of image position, particle size and whether a plant was present or not in the chamber. This may indicate that the effect of the plants on soil microbial abundance could be limited to the substrate directly adjacent (i.e. < 1.5 mm) to the root. Along the x axis (horizontal), in samples with plants, the number of discrete bacterial aggregates and the average size of the aggregates was greater on the root (position R) than at 1.5 mm (position A) and 3 mm (position B) from the root, and there was no significant difference in bacterial abundance or aggregate number between positions A and B (Figure 2Bii). In samples with no plants, there was no difference in bacterial abundance along the X axis (horizontal positions). Along the Y axis (vertical), the number of bacterial aggregates was lower at the root tip (position 1, Figure 2Bii) than the two positions further from the tip (position 2 and 3, Figure 2Bii) but when the percentage area of the image with bacterial fluorescence was used to quantify abundance, there was no difference along the roots (data not shown). In samples with no plants present, the average size of bacterial aggregate was lowest at position 1 and highest at position 3, therefore the points closest to the surface of the substrate had the largest bacterial aggregates (Figure 2Biii). This could be due to a higher
concentration of dissolved oxygen closer to the surface, which has been observed in sludge with better bacterial flocculation at high dissolved oxygen concentrations.

Several studies have described the distribution of PGPR on the surface of plant roots with a range of, and sometimes contrasting results. High bacterial abundance was found on the root tips and at root branching zones. Yet other studies reported an absence or scarcity of bacterial colonisation at the root tips, perhaps caused by the high turnover of mucilage and border cell at the root apex. It is likely that the choice of the technique used to determine bacterial numbers along the root has a strong influence on bacterial count estimates. Methods based on colony forming units (CFU) are inaccurate because they rely on taking samples and this is difficult on the root tip, and only bacteria that grow well in lab cultures can be quantified. Microscopy techniques such as SEM are usually limited to detect bacteria embedded within the mucilage, and methods that requires fixing of samples, e.g. FISH, are susceptible to perturbation for example when washing the roots prior to imaging. The method described in the current study involved the addition and removal of liquids to and from the substrate. Although fluxes of water are common in soil due to rainfall or irrigation, the filling of soil samples by the matching liquid has the potential to induce anaerobic stress in the plant and bacteria over long periods. This effect was minimised by using fresh aerated solutions and by limiting the length of time during which the substrate was saturated. There are numerous non-destructive methods to image in soil, e.g. X-rays, Neutron and Magnetic Resonance Imaging. These do not rely on filling samples in liquid, but the methods are not able to resolve many micro-organisms, and imaging of biological processes such as gene expression or cell division is not possible. Molecular methods are developing rapidly, but currently these are either destructive, or unable to resolve spatial or temporal processes e.g. T-RFLP.
The rhizosphere hosts large and diverse bacterial communities that establish sophisticated modes of interactions with plant roots. To date, it has been difficult to characterise such interactions because observation of roots and bacteria in depth and over time has been limiting. The model system described here overcomes many previous technological limitations. It combines the ability to grow biological organisms in a physically complex soil-like environment with optical microscopy and to detect multiple fluorescent signals in situ. The application of transparent soil microcosms is not limited to the study of roots and soil bacteria and it holds potential for studying the function of other soil organisms. Future developments could see the introduction of a diversity of microorganisms such as mycorrhizal fungi, nematodes, small invertebrates, or the incorporation of bacterial communities composed of several functional types (e.g. predators and prey). Exploiting this potential now requires exploring, testing and analysing biological activity in transparent soil microcosms to better understand the benefits and limitations of the technology.
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**Figure Legends**

**Figure 1**

Maximum projection confocal images of GFP-labelled *Pseudomonas fluorescens* colonies (green) on the surface of lettuce root tissues (grey) *in situ* in transparent soil with Nafion particles from the substrate labelled with sulphorhodamine B fluorescent dye also visible (red). (A) The majority of the bacterial fluorescens is associated with the root tissue. Scale bar = 150 µm. (B) Bacteria are present on the root tip and in this case also the surfaces of Nafion particles in close proximity to the root have bacterial fluorescens associated with them. Scale bar = 150 µm. (C) At higher resolution, bacterial colonisation was predominantly observed in the intercellular junctions of root epithelial cells. Scale bar = 45 µm.
Figure 2

Quantification of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* in the rhizosphere. A) Bacteria, Nafion particles and roots were processed sequentially to allow quantification. (i-ii) Bacterial fluorescence before and after processing with a median filter and thresholding facilitated measuring the bacterial abundance. Scale bar = 40 µm. (iii-iv) Original images of particle surfaces were processed and skeletonised. Grey lines in (iv) represent skeleton of particle surfaces in (iii). It was then possible to select the volumes inside particles (shown here in blue) to measure them to correct for available area (pore space). Scale bar = 200 µm. (v-vi) Example image of a section of lettuce root before and after the application of a median filter and subsequent thresholding were applied. This allowed the selection of the internal volume of the root for measurement (shown in blue). Scale bar = 200 µm. B) Quantification of bacterial distribution in transparent soil with small (500 – 850 µm) and large particles (850 – 1200 µm). The positions R1 to B3 represent a 3 × 3 grid of points on and around the roots, where R is on the root and A and B are at intervals perpendicular to the root. 1 is the root tip and 2 and 3 are closer to the shoot. See Figure S1 for schematic. (i) There was higher bacterial abundance in images that include a section of plant root. At all other positions, there was a consistent area of bacterial fluorescence as a proportion of the area of background in images without plant roots. These values were corrected for available area. (ii) Number and (iii) average size of bacterial aggregates at the 3 horizontal (X) positions (R, A & B) and at the 3 vertical (Y) positions (1, 2 & 3) in samples with or without plants. Letters above the bars indicate the results of Fisher’s protected LSD tests.

Figure S1
Protocol for the development and imaging of transparent soil microcosms. A) The preparation of the transparent soil material follows three main steps. First, Nafion precursor particles are milled in liquid nitrogen to obtain suitable particle size distribution. In a second stage, particles are treated chemically to give them an anionic charge [11, S1]. Finally, the exchange sites are saturated with the cations from Murashige & Skoog basal plant nutrient medium and the transparent soil medium water content is adjusted for optimal growth conditions. B) The culture and imaging of root and bacteria in transparent soil requires several steps. Seedlings and bacteria are inoculated in the transparent soil substrate at the start of each experiment and grow for 5 days. Samples are then saturated with half-strength M&S medium containing 1 mg ml\(^{-1}\) fluorescent brightener to stain the root tissue. Immediately before imaging, this solution was removed and replaced with pure Percoll (Sigma-Aldrich Co.) containing 1 µg ml\(^{-1}\) sulphorhodamine B (Sigma-Aldrich Co.). C) Purpose-built transparent containers were constructed for the experiment. Containers were made of a microscope slide and long cover glass with a 4 mm spacer between them on 3 sides and an opening at the top. D) 27 positions were imaged in each sample following a 3 by 3 by 3 regular grid. When plants were present, the origin of the sampling grid is the root tip and the other positions are obtained along and perpendicular to the roots. In the control samples, the reference point R1 was chosen arbitrarily.