# RUNNING HEAD: Cultural transmission biases

1		Adaptive cultural transmission biases in children and nonhuman primates
2		
3		Elizabeth E. Price <sup>1</sup> , Lara A. Wood <sup>2</sup> , and Andrew Whiten <sup>2</sup>
4		
5		
6	1.	Centre for Behaviour and Evolution, Institute of Neuroscience, Newcastle
7		University, Newcastle Upon Tyne, NE2 4HH
8	2.	Centre for Social Learning and Cognitive Evolution, School of Psychology and
9		Neuroscience, University of St. Andrews, St. Andrews, Fife, KY16 9JP
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		

Abstract

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

Comparative and evolutionary developmental analyses seek to discover the similarities and differences between humans and non-human species that illuminate both the evolutionary foundations of our nature that we share with other animals, and the distinctive characteristics that make human development unique. As our closest animal relatives, with whom we last shared common ancestry, non-human primates have been particularly important in this endeavour. Such studies that have focused on social learning, traditions, and culture have discovered much about the 'how' of social learning, concerned with key underlying processes such as imitation and emulation. One of the core discoveries is that the adaptive adjustment of social learning options to different contexts is not unique to human infants, therefore multiple new strands of research have begun to focus on more subtle questions about when, from whom, and why such learning occurs. Here we review illustrative studies on both human infants and young children and on non-human primates to identify the similarities shared more broadly across the primate order, and the apparent specialisms that distinguish human development. Adaptive biases in social learning discussed include those modulated by task comprehension, experience, conformity to majorities, and the age, skill, proficiency and familiarity of potential alternative cultural models.

34

33

35 36

37 38

39

Key words: cultural transmission; social learning; model biases; children; infants;

40 nonhuman primates

## 1. Introduction

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

The adaptive benefits of social learning are well-established and the ability is widespread among a diverse range of vertebrate and invertebrate species. Social learning is fundamental to the maintenance and spread of animal 'cultures' or behavioural traditions, and we have extensive observational and experimental evidence for these in animals (Price, Caldwell, & Whiten, 2010). However to date, there exists no strong evidence of significant cumulative culture - cultures that become increasingly complex over time as they do in humans - in other species (Dean, Vale, Laland, Flynn, & Kendal, 2014). Comparative cultural cognition research typically focuses on differences in the social learning mechanisms underlying culture in human and nonhuman animals. One prominent theory suggests that differences in the specific means by which information is spread between individuals might help explain the lack of cumulative culture in species other than our own (Tennie, Call, & Tomasello, 2009; Tomasello, 1996). The suggestion is that humans tend to copy or imitate with higher fidelity than other species, copying actions, end results, and goals. In contrast, other animals are limited by a tendency to copy only some aspects of a behaviour, or only the results of actions (emulation), and precise information regarding actions is not so readily transmitted, leading to cultural "slippage" effects (i.e. the loss of cultural information over time). Whilst there is certainly evidence to support higher fidelity copying in human children compared to other apes (most typically chimpanzees, e.g. Call, Carpenter, & Tomasello, 2005; Nagell, Olguin, & Tomasello, 1993), both children and chimpanzees have been shown to employ a suite of social learning mechanisms (Whiten, McGuigan, Marshall-Pescini, & Hopper, 2009). Other animals sometimes exhibit imitation (e.g.

(Voelkl & Huber, 2000; Zentall, Sutton, & Sherburne, 1996), and children can be seen both to selectively imitate in some contexts (e.g. Gergely, Bekkering, & Kiraly, 2002), and 'overimitate', copying even causally irrelevant actions in others (e.g. Lyons, Young, & Keil, 2007; McGuigan, Whiten, Flynn, & Horner, 2007).

Thus, a given individual (or species) does not always apply a single social learning mechanism; rather, they may apply different psychological rules that govern when and from whom to acquire information, and how much of the available information to copy. Such processes have been labeled social learning strategies (Laland, 2004) or cultural transmission biases (Boyd & Richerson, 1985), and may allow an individual to counteract the risk of potentially outdated or maladaptive information (Kendal, Coolen, van Bergen, & Laland, 2005). These biases also likely play a crucial role in determining how behavioural variants are spread and maintained at population levels (Haun, van Leeuwen, & Edelson, 2013).

Comparative research seeking to pinpoint differences underlying cultural transmission biases in humans and other animals has thus risen in prominence. But while transmission biases have been explored separately in both animals (Laland, 2004) and children (Wood, Kendal, & Flynn, 2013), the comparative exploration of these factors, employing similar procedures in humans and nonhumans, is lacking. Given the rapidly growing scale of recent research, we cannot here provide a comprehensive review of cultural transmission biases; rather, we highlight those that we believe to be particularly good candidates for the exploration of similarities and differences between humans and other primates. Although we note that comparisons between diverse species are essential for a full understanding of how cognition evolves, here we focus on human infants and

nonhuman primates (hereafter primates) specifically, because of their central relevance in illuminating the most recent phases of hominin cognitive and cultural evolution. We examine how the context of the learning environment, coupled with the psychological rules the observer is following, helps us better understand the different types of cultural transmission processes observed in human children and primates. Now that we have a substantial corpus of comparative studies focusing on the "how" of social learning with regard to mechanisms, it is important to consider the social and psychological contexts under which different mechanisms are employed, or the "when", "who", and "why" of social learning.

## 2. When to copy

In some cases, it might not be the most adaptive option to learn from watching others, particularly when information gained asocially by one's own efforts may be more relevant or accurate. Information gleaned from others may be out of date or misleading for a variety of reasons. Choosing between social and asocial learning in any one context can be modulated by the observer's understanding of the problem, experience, or proficiency. Alternatively, the perceived skill of the model or the number of models displaying a particular behaviour may influence when an individual chooses to copy, as outlined below.

## 2.1. Task comprehension and experience

A given task may be opaque with respect to the actions needed to complete it, for example because the internal mechanisms are occluded. In such cases, it may be

beneficial to apply a "copy-everything" bias to reach a solution. Both preschool-aged children and young chimpanzees have been found to copy all actions, including irrelevant actions, from adult humans when the processes involved in task completion are opaque (Horner & Whiten, 2005).

Children also seem to evaluate a task in relation to a model's particular actions and efficacy with the task. When faced with a model who performs an action with an unexpected body part without a plausible reason (e.g. turns a light switch on with her head, rather than hand), both 14-month-old infants (Gergely, Bekkering, & Király, 2002) and enculturated chimpanzees (Buttelmann, Carpenter, Call, & Tomasello, 2007) copy the specific method used. When there is an evident reason for the seemingly odd action (e.g. arms are wrapped up or hands occupied), both species copy 'rationally', achieving the goal through the more regular means (i.e. manually, in this example). Similarly, (Carr, Kendal, & Flynn, 2015) children are more likely to seek innovative solutions to a task as the efficacy of a demonstration decreases (Carr et al., 2015) and older children are more likely to reject a model's demonstration with a non-appropriate tool in favour of a functional tool (diYanni & Kelemen, 2008); diYanni & Kelemen (2008) suggest that this was due to older children's increased knowledge of artefact design.

The difficulty of the task and the cognitive savvy or experience of the observer can both influence the type of social learning mechanism employed. For example, in simpler tasks (e.g. breaking open a tube, or raking in a reward), chimpanzees tend to perform equally well on witnessing information about actions or about end-results only, whereas children require information about actions to perform best (Call et al., 2005; Nagell et al., 1993). In more difficult tasks (e.g. tool construction), chimpanzees may

require action information to perform better than controls deprived of information (Price, Lambeth, Schapiro, & Whiten, 2009).

Prior experience or familiarity with a given task also influences when or how much information to copy. For example, if social information conflicts with personally-gained information, preschool-aged children may favour personal information (Clément, Koenig, & Harris, 2004; Ma & Ganea, 2010). When five-year-old children have previously successfully solved a task on their own, they are motivated to copy subsequent socially demonstrated solutions but are less likely to copy actions that appear causally irrelevant (Wood et al., 2013). Similarly, if children have a difficult prior experience achieving a goal, they are more likely to copy an adult demonstration precisely than those with an easier prior experience (Williamson, Meltzoff, & Markman, 2008).

Primates' attention to social information can also be affected by prior experience, with young callitrichid monkeys seeking social information about novel over familiar food items (Kendal et al., 2005; Rapaport, 1999). Interestingly, in foraging tasks, previous knowledge of one method can also lead to so-called 'conservatism', wherein a given individual sticks to an individually learned method, even when an alternative, more efficient or better rewarded, method is presented. This has been suggested to be a limiting factor in cultural learning in chimpanzees, with individuals unable or unwilling to adopt new methods, preferring to stick to their asocially learned techniques (Hopper, Schapiro, Lambeth, & Brosnan, 2011; Hrubesch, Preuschof, & Van Schaik, 2008). To date, experimental evidence that specifically explores how individual knowledge of a task influences copying fidelity in primates is lacking and could help inform differences in social learning mechanisms employed by children and chimpanzees, seen in earlier tests.

2.2. Majority-biased transmission and conformity

Comparative research into conformity must cope with somewhat differing definitions deriving from different disciplinary origins (Claidière & Whiten, 2012). Comprehensive reviews of the field can be found elsewhere (Claidière & Whiten, 2012; Haun et al., 2013); for the purpose of this review we restrict 'conformity' to when a given individual forgoes an existing behaviour in favour of the behaviour of a majority of others. The broader phenomenon of majority-biased transmission occurs when individuals show an exaggerated tendency to adopt behaviour they witness most frequently, or is demonstrated by most other individuals (a distinction is sometimes made between these two types of observations, (Haun, Rekers, & Tomasello, 2012), but for simplicity we treat them together here).

Perry (2009) traced the development of food extraction techniques in capuchin monkeys over seven years and found that after a period of exploring equally efficient techniques, monkeys typically settled on the technique they had witnessed most often in infancy. Experimental evidence for primates comes mainly from studies employing a two-action methodology, wherein one of two equally beneficial solutions is seeded into a social group via a trained demonstrator. The group members' subsequent adoption of the technique is then traced. In some instances, group members will discover the alternative, equally rewarding technique, but then revert back to the technique exhibited by the majority of the group. Such behaviour has been seen in children (Flynn, 2008; Flynn & Whiten, 2008), a range of captive primates (Bonnie, Horner, Whiten, & de Waal, 2007; Dindo, De Waal, & Whiten, 2009; Price & Caldwell, 2007; Stoinski, Drayton, & Price,

2011) and in wild vervet monkeys (van de Waal, Borgeaud, & Whiten, 2013). Similar results have been reported using an exchange paradigm in chimpanzees (i.e. chimpanzees exchanged inedible tokens for varying food rewards, Hopper et al., 2011).

Without experimental manipulation it is difficult to determine if these animals are eschewing minority information or are instead conservatively relying on the technique they learned first, or that has been most frequently reinforced (Hrubesch et al., 2008; Marshall-Pescini & Whiten, 2008; van Leeuwen & Haun, 2013). Haun et al. (2012) directly tested preferences for majority over minority information and found that both children and chimpanzees, but not orangutans, were more likely to copy an action performed by three individuals than by one, controlling for the number of times the action was seen. With reference to conformity specifically, defined as individuals rejecting personal experience in favour of a majority bias, children as young as 4 years have been found to reject correct personal information in favour of incorrect information offered by a majority of adults (Corriveau & Harris, 2010; Ma & Ganea, 2010) and peers (Haun & Tomasello, 2011), albeit only under certain conditions.

Differences between normative and informational conformity further complicate interpretations. Normative conformity is socially motivated, whereas informational conformity functions to gain more information about reality (Deutsch & Gerard, 1955). In the above instances with children, conforming to incorrect information was either only in the presence of those who modeled the behaviour, or was restricted to perceptual tasks (Corriveau & Harris, 2010). When children were alone, or presented with a practical problem, correct personal information was relied upon (Haun & Tomasello, 2011).

Recent use of experimental procedures in field settings has provided compelling evidence of animal conformity (e.g. great tits, Aplin et al. 2015; vervet monkeys, van de Waal et al. 2013, although see van Leuuwen, Kendal, Tennie, & Haun, 2015, for a critique of this interpretation and Aplin et al. 2015 for an instructive response). More probing experimental evidence is needed. Tests with primates where comparisons are made between individuals operating a task both in the presence of and away from their groupmates might help shed light on this, as has been done recently with children (McGuigan & Robertson, 2015).

## 3. Whom to copy

This area has perhaps received the most attention in the literature, with a wealth of information suggesting that children prefer and selectively attend to and copy certain types of models, as outlined below. To date, we have less information pertaining to primates, but emerging data from both wild and captive populations of primates suggest that some similar preferences may be in place. We focus on the model attributes of perceived skill/age/proficiency, social status, and familiarity or social bonding.

## 3.1. Model skill, age, and status

From a young age, infants are able to discriminate between competent and incompetent models (Zmyj, Buttelmann, Carpenter, & Daum, 2010) and children will preferentially copy proficient models in a variety of contexts (e.g. Birch, Vauthier, & Bloom, 2008; Brooker & Poulin-Dubois, 2013; Koenig & Harris, 2005; Metzoff & Moore, 1992, although see Wood, Kendal & Flynn, 2015). Importantly, children's theory

of mind ability has recently been linked to their ability to copy accurate over inaccurate speakers (Brosseau-Liard, Penney, & Poulin-Dubois, 2015).

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

Age is an indication of experience with the environment, so copying older individuals is also a potentially beneficial strategy. From infancy, children are more likely to copy actions presented by adults over children (Rakoczy, Hamann, Warneken, & Tomasello, 2010; Seehagen & Herbert, 2011). Children are also more likely to copy visibly, causally irrelevant actions if presented by an adult as opposed to a similarly-aged peer (Flynn, 2008; McGuigan, 2012; Wood, Kendal, & Flynn, 2012). These copying strategies also seem to depend on context, with children more likely to copy adults than peers when information is novel (Zmyj, Daum, Prinz, Nielsen, & Aschersleben, 2012) or related to motor skills (Kuczynski, Zahn-Waxler, & Radke-Yarrow, 1987) and to copy peers when information is familiar or related to play (Ryalls, Gul, & Ryalls, 2000). Copying high status individuals is another potentially adaptive strategy, since those individuals might be more successful and thus better equipped to deal with the social or physical environment. When a novel puzzle is presented to children to solve in a group, dominant and popular children were attended to more often than their less dominant and popular peers (Flynn & Whiten, 2012). Children also copy more irrelevant actions made by a higher status adult (e.g. head teacher) as compared to a lower status adult (McGuigan, 2013). In free-ranging primates, chimpanzees pay more attention to older individuals than younger individuals (Biro et al., 2003; Lonsdorf, 2006) and capuchin monkeys attend more to proficient individuals (Ottoni, de Resende, & Izar, 2005). Van de Waal,

Renevey, Favre, and Bshary (2010) found that vervet monkeys selectively attended to

female models over male models. The authors suggest that females, as the philopatric sex, might be better sources of information than immigrant males.

Experimental evidence in captivity is limited, though there is some evidence to suggest a preference in chimpanzees for older, dominant, and previously successful individuals as models (Horner, Proctor, Bonnie, Whiten, & de Waal, 2010) and alternative methods discovered by low ranking individuals do not spread through groups (Bonnie et al., 2007). Similar trends have been found in capuchins on novel foraging tasks (Dindo, Thierry, & Whiten, 2008); however, in a different study, infant capuchins were not more likely to choose food preferred by older or more experienced individuals (Fragaszy, Visalberghi, & Galloway, 1997). Given the nature of many captive tests, dominant individuals are frequently used as models to limit opportunities for individual learning prior to viewing model demonstrations. In the absence of relevant controls, it is therefore difficult to distinguish whether individuals are relying on the first learned method or preferentially attending to these individuals, although recent statistical modeling suggests that chimpanzees operating a foraging device are biased to copy knowledgeable individuals (Kendal et al., 2015).

## 3.2. Familiarity and social bonds

Copying individuals who are similar to yourself can serve two purposes: first it can be instrumentally fruitful because those individuals are more likely to live in a similar environment and have relevant experience, and second it can help you learn the social customs necessary to integrate and live successfully in a social group (Over & Carpenter, 2014). Infants and children preferentially copy familiar over less familiar models

(Buttelmann, Zmyj, Daum, & Carpenter, 2013; Learmonth, Lamberth, & Rovee-Collier, 2005; Shutts, Kinzler, McKee, & Spelke, 2009).

Children also tend to copy more actions demonstrated by engaging, interactive models, over televised or aloof models (McGuigan et al., 2007; Nielsen, Simcock, & Jenkins, 2008). This 'video deficit' perhaps exists partly because children might have difficulty understanding the correspondence between televised information and reality (Anderson & Pempek, 2005; Troseth & DeLoache, 1998), but also because social cues are reduced. When children have the opportunity to engage with a televised model prior to a demonstration through a live video feed, the video deficit effect is reduced (Nielsen et al., 2008). Children seem predisposed towards ostensive signals, such as eye contact, and pointing, that indicate that the model is trying to communicate relevant information (Csibra & Gergely, 2009). For example children copy a model more when s/he stoops to the child's level, leans in, makes eye contact and talks engagingly (Brugger, Lariviere, Mumme, & Bushnell, 2007).

Learning techniques from others is facilitated by close proximity and some degree of social tolerance (Coussi-Korbel & Fragaszy, 1995; van Schaik, 2003) and thus might be expected to occur more frequently between close affiliates, typically defined as those who spend the most time in close spatial proximity (Van Schaik & Aureli, 2000). In fact, the way in which behaviours spread throughout wild populations of primates is often used to infer whether social learning is occurring. For example, if a novel behaviour spreads from an individual to his or her close associates first, it is deemed more likely to be learned via observation than asocial learning, as has been described in several primate species (Hobaiter, Poisot, Zuberbühler, Hoppitt, & Gruber, 2014; Huffman & Quiatt,

1986; Itani & Nishimura, 1973; Kawai, 1965; Kendal et al., 2010; Leca, Gunst, & Huffman, 2007; Nishida, 1987). Similarly, the amount of time individuals spend in close proximity with others is related to the number of cultural variants they display (van Schaik et al. 2003), the similarity of the foraging techniques they use (Agostini & Visalberghi, 2005; Panger et al., 2002) or the actions they copy (Claidière, Messer, Hoppitt, & Whiten, 2013; Russon & Galdikas, 1995). New techniques, including social network analysis can help determine how social bonds influence the spread of information in both wild and captive populations (e.g. chimpanzees, Hobaiter et al., 2014; Kendal et al., 2015).

Controlled experiments comparable to those in the developmental literature are difficult to implement. For logistical reasons, models are typically selected from the social group without the possibility of comparisons between familiar and unfamiliar individuals, so such experiments are typically limited to species whose group composition can be more easily manipulated (see Swaney, Kendal, Capon, Brown, & Laland, 2001 for examples with guppies). Video demonstrations offer one avenue to explore these potential biases in primates. For example, chimpanzees copied demonstrated behaviour in video displays of an unfamiliar model on both a tool modification task (Price et al., 2009) and a two-action task (Hopper, Lambeth, & Schapiro, 2012). Colobus monkeys (*Colobus guereza kikuyuensis*) also copied the modeled solution of a two-action task by watching video footage of a different species (*Colobus polykomos*, Price & Caldwell, 2007) although in the latter instance the monkeys had had previous visual contact with those animals. Mixed species groups are becoming

increasingly common in captivity and offer an excellent opportunity to test for preferences to copy genetically similar individuals in a shared environment.

Considering the latter point, some comparative studies of social learning have been criticized for using human demonstrators with both chimpanzees and children, potentially putting chimpanzees at a disadvantage (De Waal, Boesch, Horner, & Whiten, 2008; Price & Whiten, 2012). Conspecific models are now used more frequently; however, another, equally relevant point to consider is that in the majority of comparative studies, children are presented with unfamiliar models, whereas primates are typically presented with familiar group-mates as models. Given the known role of model type in children's social learning, and the likely similar role in primates, this is an important consideration.

4. Why copy?

Copying can help a naive observer learn new skills or deal with the physical environment, but it can also serve a social function (Uzgiris, 1981). As discussed, cultural transmission biases can vary based on the characteristics of the model, the observer, and the context the learning occurs in. This then makes determining the motivation underlying copying essential to understanding differences between species.

Copying precise actions, or imitation, may help reinforce similarity between the imitator and the imitated, increasing social cohesion (Meltzoff, 2005; Over & Carpenter, 2012). Infants tend to prefer individuals who mimic them over those who do not (Meltzoff & Beeghly, 1990) and will copy more actions of an experimenter who imitates them over one who does not (Eckerman & Stein, 1990). Children given a goal to affiliate

with another will copy actions more precisely than those not given such a goal (Carpenter & Call, 2009) and children also endorse the preferences of those who have previously mimicked them, over those who have not (Over, Carpenter, Spears, & Gattis, 2013).

One of the most fascinating forms of social learning occurs when causally irrelevant and even inefficient actions are copied: 'overimitation'. Investigation of this phenomenon in children has burgeoned in recent years, with some evidence to suggest that the behaviour increases with age, even into adulthood (McGuigan, Makinson, & Whiten, 2011), and is present in very different human cultures (Nielsen, Mushin, Tomaselli, & Whiten, 2014; Nielsen & Tomaselli, 2010). Many hypotheses have been put forward to explain overimitation. For example, children might automatically encode actions as causally relevant, thus permitting them to negotiate causally opaque processes or tasks before they have the cognitive savvy to work them out for themselves (Lyons et al., 2007). Alternatively, children may realize that the actions are irrelevant, but copy them because they interpret them as part of a larger cultural convention (Kenward, 2012; Keupp, Behne, Zachow, Kasbohm, & Rakoczy, 2015).

One prominent view stresses the importance of social motivation, specifically that overimitation might stem from a motivation to be seen to be like others and in consequence be liked by them (Over & Carpenter, 2012). The apparent paradox that exists between selective imitation and overimitation in children is thus explained by the underlying goal the child has when performing the task (Over & Carpenter, 2013). For example, if the information sought is purely functional, children may be more likely to omit irrelevant actions (Carpenter, 2006), and there is some evidence to suggest that children are more likely to copy irrelevant actions in the presence of the model who

originally demonstrated them (Nielsen & Blank, 2011). Disentangling social from functional copying contexts is a difficult endeavor, however, particularly given that copying is by its very nature a social activity, and children may be motivated by both functional and social dynamics on a given task (Over & Carpenter, 2012).

Carpenter and Call (2009) argue that it is this social motivation, or the drive to be like others, that distinguishes humans from other animals, yet attempting to study this interaction from a comparative perspective is inherently difficult. Experimentally, there is evidence to suggest that apes are aware when others are imitating them (Haun & Call, 2008; Nielsen, Collier-Baker, Davis, & Suddendorf, 2005) and capuchin monkeys show more affiliative behaviours toward humans who have previously imitated them (Paukner, Suomi, Visalberghi, & Ferrari, 2009). Deciphering the goals or motivations underlying copying is more difficult. In free-ranging primates, some observed cultural traditions are social by their nature, including social customs, games, and stone-handling behaviours (Leca et al., 2007; Panger et al., 2002; Perry et al., 2003; van Leeuwen, Cronin, & Haun, 2014; van Schaik et al., 2003; Whiten et al., 2001). Given their social nature or lack of immediate adaptive value, we can infer that motivations to copy these actions are social, rather than instrumental, but in the absence of controlled experiments, it is difficult to draw firm conclusions.

Experimental studies specifically seeking to isolate underlying motivations are equally difficult. As previously discussed, some comparative studies suggest that chimpanzees only copy irrelevant actions when their irrelevance is masked (by the object being manipulated being opaque, for example; Horner and Whiten, 2005); in situations where the function of an action seems readily determined, only children, and not apes,

persist in copying irrelevant actions (Call et al., 2005; Nagell et al., 1993). However, there is some evidence that chimpanzees might overimitate. For example, after socially learning a particular method of constructing a tool, only those chimpanzees who had seen another chimpanzee construct the tool persisted in using this method after a delay of two-weeks when it was no longer necessary (Price et al., 2009). The results cannot be explained by conservatism, since chimpanzees that had learned via emulation or asocial learning tended only to build tools when necessary.

Comparative research is further limited by tasks that focus specifically on learning about the physical environment, typically achieving a food reward (Watson & Caldwell, 2009) although see (Bonnie & de Waal, 2007). Gaining a preferred food in your home environment (be that in captivity or in the wild) might be very different than gaining a sticker or small toy (as is most frequently used with children) in a school or in a laboratory. This difference alone may mean the child's testing environment is more (or less) inherently social.

#### 5. Conclusions and Future Directions

From an early age humans can moderate what to learn, whom to learn from, and when to learn, in apparently adaptive ways. As understanding of knowledge states, memory, physical cognition, language skills, and other key abilities develop throughout ontogeny, these strategies progress, allowing individuals to optimize the combined knowledge of their own individual problem-solving with that of the information that can be learned from others. While many animals have been shown to exhibit cultural transmission biases, to date, the adaptability and flexibility of these remain to be clarified, along with

the potential similarities and differences of these biases to our own. Are other primates able to adapt their copying behaviour under as flexible a set of contexts as humans? Do primates copy for purely instrumental purposes, or also to help affiliate with group members? Do social relationships or understanding of a problem determine how much information is copied? Do multiple biases interact or are some of higher priority and do they over-ride others? Most fundamentally, what determines the relative roles of individual ver<u>s</u>us social learning?

We anticipate that further, rigorous comparative studies will be conducted to answer such questions, as has been achieved previously with social learning mechanisms. We have highlighted several areas warranting further exploration, but comparative psychologists face many challenges when designing experiments to be as similar as possible between species. Meeting these challenges will require designing studies that do not rely heavily on language and can be applied in an ecologically valid fashion across species and contexts.

422 Acknowledgments

- 424 References
  425 Agostini, I., & Visalberghi, E. (2005). Social influences on the acquisition of sex-typical
- foraging patterns by juveniles in a group of wild tufted capuchin monkeys (*Cebus*
- 427 *nigritus*). *American Journal of Primatology*, 65, 335-351.
- 428 Anderson, D. R., & Pempek, T. A. (2005). Television and Very Young Children (Vol. 48,
- 429 pp. 505-522).
- 430 Aplin, L. M., Farine, D. R., Morand-Ferron, J., Cockburn, A., Thornton, A., & Sheldon,
- B. C. (in press). Counting conformity: evaluating the units of information in
- frequency-dependent social learning. *Animal Behaviour*
- 433 Aplin, L. M., Farine, D. R., Morand-Ferron, J., Cockburn, A., Thornton, A., & Sheldon,
- B. C. (2015). Experimentally induced innovations lead to persistent culture via
- 435 conformity in wild birds. *Nature*, *518*(7540), 538-541.
- Birch, S. A. J., Vauthier, S. A., & Bloom, P. (2008). Three- and four-year-olds
- spontaneously use others' past performance to guide their learning. *Cognition*,
- 438 107(3), 1018-1034. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2007.12.008
- Biro, D., Inoue-Nakamura, N., Tonooka, R., Yamakoshi, G., Sousa, C., & Matsuzawa, T.
- 440 (2003). Cultural innovation and transmission of tool use in wild chimpanzees:
- evidence from field experiments. *Animal Cognition*, 6(4), 213-223.
- Bonnie, K. E., & de Waal, F. B. M. (2007). Copying without rewards: socially influenced
- foraging decisions among brown capuchin monkeys. *Animal Cognition*, 10(3),
- 444 283-292. doi: 10.1007/s.10071-006-0069-9

445	Bonnie, K. E., Horner, V., Whiten, A., & de Waal, F. B. M. (2007). Spread of arbitrary
446	conventions among chimpanzees: a controlled experiment. Proceedings of the
447	Royal Society B-Biological Sciences, 274(1608), 367-372.
448	Boyd, R., & Richerson, J. P. (1985). Culture and the Evolutionary Process. Chicago:
449	University of Chicago Press.
450	Brooker, I., & Poulin-Dubois, D. (2013). Is a bird an apple? The effect of speaker
451	labeling accuracy on infants' word learning, imitation, and helping behaviors.
452	Infancy, 18, E46–E68.
453	Brosseau-Liard, P., Penney, D., & Poulin-Dubois, D. (2015). Theory of mind selectively
454	predicts preschoolers' knowledge-based selective word learning. British Journal
455	of Developmental Psychology, 33(4), 464-475.
456	Brugger, A., Lariviere, L. A., Mumme, D. L., & Bushnell, E. W. (2007). Doing the Right
457	Thing: Infants' Selection of Actions to Imitate From Observed Event Sequences.
458	Child Development, 78(3), 806-824. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8624.2007.01034.x
459	Buttelmann, D., Carpenter, M., Call, J., & Tomasello, M. (2007). Enculturated
460	chimpanzees imitated rationally. Developmental Science, 10, 31-38.
461	Buttelmann, D., Zmyj, N., Daum, M., & Carpenter, M. (2013). Selective Imitation of In-
462	Group Over Out-Group Members in 14-Month-Old Infants. Child Development,
463	84(2), 422-428.
464	Call, J., Carpenter, M., & Tomasello, M. (2005). Copying results and copying actions in
465	the process of social learning: chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes) and human children
466	(Homo sapiens). Animal Cognition, 8(3), 151-163.

467	Carpenter, M. (2006). Instrumental, social, and shared goals and intentions in imitation
468	(pp. 48-70): Guilford Press New York.
469	Carpenter, M., & Call, J. (2009). Comparing the imitative skills of children and
470	nonhuman apes: Société francophone de primatologie.
471	Carr, K., Kendal, R. L., & Flynn, E. G. (2015). Imitate or innovate? Children's
472	innovation is influenced by the efficacy of observed behaviour. Cognition., 142,
473	322-332.
174	Claidière, N., Messer, Emily J. E., Hoppitt, W., & Whiten, A. (2013). Diffusion
475	Dynamics of Socially Learned Foraging Techniques in Squirrel Monkeys.
176	Current Biology, 23(13), 1251-1255.
177	Claidière, N., & Whiten, A. (2012). Integrating the study of conformity and culture in
178	humans and nonhuman animals. Psychological Bulletin, 138(1), 126-145. doi:
179	10.1037/a0025868
480	Clément, F., Koenig, M., & Harris, P. (2004). The ontogenesis of trust. Mind &
481	Language, 19(4), 360-379. doi: 10.1111/j.0268-1064.2004.00263.x
182	Corriveau, K. H., & Harris, P. L. (2010). Preschoolers (sometimes) defer to the majority
183	in making simple perceptual judgments. Developmental Psychology, 46(2), 437-
184	445. doi: 10.1037/a0017553
485	Coussi-Korbel, S., & Fragaszy, D. M. (1995). On the relation between social dynamics
486	and social learning. Animal Behaviour, 50, 1441-1453
187	Csibra, G., & Gergely, G. (2009). Natural pedagogy. Trends in cognitive sciences, 13(4)
488	148-153
189	

190	De Waal, F. B. M., Boesch, C., Horner, V., & Whiten, A. (2008). Comparing social skills
491	of children and apes. Letter (commentary on E. Hermann et al.). Science, 319,
192	569.
193	Dean, L. G., Vale, G. L., Laland, K. N., Flynn, E., & Kendal, R. L. (2014). Human
194	cumulative culture: a comparative perspective. Biological Reviews, 89(2), 284-
195	301. doi: 10.1111/brv.12053
196	Deutsch, M., & Gerard, H. B. (1955). A study of normative and informational social
197	influences upon individual judgment. The Journal of Abnormal and Social
198	Psychology, 51(3), 629-636. doi: 10.1037/h0046408
199	Dindo, M., De Waal, F. B. M., & Whiten, A. (2009). In-group conformity sustains
500	different foraging traditions in capuchin monkeys PLoS One, 4(11), e7858.
501	Dindo, M., Thierry, B., & Whiten, A. (2008). Social diffusion of novel foraging methods
502	in brown capuchin monkeys (Cebus apella). Proceedings of the Royal Society B-
503	Biological Sciences, 275(1631), 187-193. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2007.1318
504	DiYanni, C., & Kelemen, D. (2008). Using a bad tool with good intention: Young
505	childrenâ $\in$ TMs imitation of adultsâ $\in$ TM questionable choices. <i>Journal of</i>
506	Experimental Child Psychology, 101(4), 241-261.
507	Eckerman, C. O., & Stein, M. R. (1990). How imitation begets imitation and toddlers'
508	generation of games. Developmental Psychology, 26(3), 370-378.
509	Flynn, E. (2008). Investigating children as cultural magnets: do young children transmit
510	redundant information along diffusion chains? Philosophical Transactions of the
511	Royal Society B-Biological Sciences, 363(1509), 3541-3551. doi:
512	10.1098/rstb.2008.0136

513	Flynn, E., & Whiten, A. (2008). Imitation of hierarchical structure versus component
514	details of complex actions by 3- and 5- year olds. Journal of Experimental Child
515	Psychology, 101, 228-240.
516	Flynn, E., & Whiten, A. (2012). Experimental "Microcultures" in Young Children:
517	Identifying Biographic, Cognitive, and Social Predictors of Information
518	Transmission. Child Development, 83(3), 911-925. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-
519	8624.2012.01747.x
520	Fragaszy, D., Visalberghi, E., & Galloway, A. (1997). Infant tufted capuchin monkeys'
521	behaviour with novel foods: opportunism, not selectivity. Animal Behaviour,
522	53(6), 1337-1343. doi: <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/anbe.1996.0368">http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/anbe.1996.0368</a>
523	Gergely, G., Bekkering, H., & Király, I. (2002). Developmental psychology: rational
524	imitation in pre-verbal infants. Nature, 415, 755.
525	Haun, D. B. M., & Call, J. (2008). Imitation recognition in great apes. Current Biology,
526	18(7), R288-R290.
527	Haun, D. B. M., Rekers, Y., & Tomasello, M. (2012). Majority-Biased Transmission in
528	Chimpanzees and Human Children, but Not Orangutans. Current Biology, 22(8),
529	727-731. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2012.03.006
530	Haun, D. B. M., & Tomasello, M. (2011). Conformity to Peer Pressure in Preschool
531	Children. Child Development, 82(6), 1759-1767. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-
532	8624.2011.01666.x
533	Haun, D. B. M., van Leeuwen, E. J. C., & Edelson, M. G. Majority influence in children
534	and other animals. Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience, 3, 61-71.

535	Haun, D. B. M., van Leeuwen, E. J. C., & Edelson, M. G. (2013). Majority influence in
536	children and other animals. Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience, 3, 61-71. doi:
537	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2012.09.003
538	Hobaiter, C., Poisot, T., Zuberbühler, K., Hoppitt, W., & Gruber, T. (2014). Social
539	Network Analysis Shows Direct Evidence for Social Transmission of Tool Use in
540	Wild Chimpanzees. PLoS Biol, 12(9), e1001960. doi:
541	10.1371/journal.pbio.1001960
542	Hopper, L. M., Lambeth, S. P., & Schapiro, S. J. (2012). An Evaluation of the Efficacy of
543	Video Displays for Use With Chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes). American Journal
544	of Primatology, 74(5), 442-449.
545	Hopper, L. M., Schapiro, S. J., Lambeth, S. P., & Brosnan, S. F. (2011). Chimpanzees'
546	socially maintained food preferences indicate both conservatism and conformity.
547	Animal Behaviour, 81(6), 1195-1202. doi:
548	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2011.03.002
549	Horner, V., Proctor, D., Bonnie, K. E., Whiten, A., & de Waal, F. B. M. (2010). Prestige
550	Affects Cultural Learning in Chimpanzees. PLoS One, 5(5), e10625. doi:
551	10.1371/journal.pone.0010625
552	Horner, V., & Whiten, A. (2005). Causal knowledge and imitation/emulation switching
553	in chimpanzees (Pan trogiodytes) and children (Homo sapiens). Animal
554	Cognition, 8(3), 164-181.
555	Hrubesch, C., Preuschof, S., & Van Schaik, C. P. (2008). Skill mastery inhibits adoption
556	of observed alternative solutions among chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes). Animal
557	Cognition. doi: 10.1007/s10071-008-0183-y

558	Huffman, M. A., & Quiatt, D. (1986). Stone handling by Japanese macaques ( <i>Macaca</i>
559	fuscata): implications for tool use of stone. Primates, 27, 413-423.
560	Itani, J., & Nishimura, A. (1973). The study of infra-human culture in Japan. In E.
61	Menzel (Ed.), Precultural primate behavior (pp. 26-50). Basel: Karger.
562	Kawai, M. (1965). Newly acquired precultural behavior of the natural troop of Japanese
563	monkeys on Koshima Island. Primates, 6, 1-30.
564	Kendal, R., Custance, D., Kendal, J., Vale, G., Stoinski, T., Rakotomalala, N., &
565	Rasamimanana, H. (2010). Evidence for social learning in wild lemurs (Lemur
666	catta). Learning & Behavior, 38(3), 220-234. doi: 10.3758/LB.38.3.220
667	Kendal, R., Hopper, L. M., Whiten, A., Brosnan, S. F., Lambeth, S. P., Schapiro, S. J., &
568	Hoppitt, W. (2015). Chimpanzees copy dominant and knowledgeable individuals:
569	implications for cultural diversity. Evolution and Human Behavior, 36(1), 65-72.
570	doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2014.09.002
571	Kendal, R. L., Coolen, I., van Bergen, Y., & Laland, K. N. (2005). Tradeoffs in the
572	adaptive use of social and asocial learning. Advances in the study of behavior., 35
573	333-379.
574	Kenward, B. (2012). Over-imitating preschoolers believe unnecessary actions are
575	normative and enforce their performance by a third party. Journal of
576	Experimental Child Psychology, 112(2), 195-207.
577	Keupp, S., Behne, T., Zachow, J., Kasbohm, A., & Rakoczy, H. (2015). Over-imitation is
578	not automatic: Context sensitivity in children's overimitation and action
579	interpretation of causally irrelevant actions. Journal of Experimental Child
80	Psychology, 130, 163-175.

581	Koenig, M. A., & Harris, P. L. (2005). Preschoolers Mistrust Ignorant and Inaccurate
582	Speakers. Child Development, 76(6), 1261-1277. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-
583	8624.2005.00849.x
584	Kuczynski, L., Zahn-Waxler, C., & Radke-Yarrow, M. (1987). Development and content
585	of imitation in the second and third years of life: A socialization perspective.
586	Developmental Psychology, 23(2), 276-282. doi: 10.1037/0012-1649.23.2.276
587	Laland, K. N. (2004). Social learning strategies. Learning and Behaviour, 32, 4-14.
588	Learmonth, A. E., Lamberth, R., & Rovee-Collier, C. (2005). The social context of
589	imitation in infancy. Journal of Experimental Child Psychology, 91(4), 297-314.
590	Leca, JB., Gunst, N., & Huffman, M. A. (2007). Japanese macaque cultures: Inter-and
591	intera-troop behavioarl variability of stone-handling patterns across 10 groups
592	Behaviour, 144, 251-281.
593	Lonsdorf, E. V. (2006). What is the role of mothers in the acquisition of termite-fishing
594	behaviors in wild chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes schweinfurthii)? Animal
595	Cognition, 9(1), 36-46.
596	Lyons, D. E., Young, A. G., & Keil, F. C. (2007). The hidden structure of overimitation.
597	Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America
598	104(50), 19751-19756. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0704452104
599	Ma, L., & Ganea, P. A. (2010). Dealing with conflicting information: young
500	children's reliance on what they see versus what they are told.
501	Developmental Science, 13(1), 151-160. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-7687.2009.00878.x

502	Marshall-Pescini, S., & Whiten, A. (2008). Chimpanzees ( <i>Pan troglodytes</i> ) and the
503	question of cumulative culture: an experimental approach. Animal Cognition, 11,
504	449-456.
505	McGuigan, N. (2012). The role of transmission biases in the cultural diffusion of
506	irrelevant actions. Journal of Comparative Psychology, 126(2), 150-160. doi:
507	10.1037/a0025525
508	McGuigan, N. (2013). The influence of model status on the tendency of young children
509	to over-imitate. Journal of Experimental Child Psychology, 116(4), 962-969. doi:
510	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jecp.2013.05.004
511	McGuigan, N., Makinson, J., & Whiten, A. (2011). From over-imitation to super-
512	copying: Adults imitate causally irrelevant aspects of tool use with higher fidelity
513	than young children. British Journal of Psychology, 102(1), 1-18. doi:
514	10.1348/000712610X493115
515	McGuigan, N., & Robertson, S. (2015). The influence of peers on the tendency of 3- and
516	4-year-old children to over-imitate. Journal of Experimental Child Psychology,
517	136, 42-54. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jecp.2015.03.004
518	McGuigan, N., Whiten, A., Flynn, E., & Horner, V. (2007). Imitation of causally opaque
519	versus causally transparent tool use by 3-and 5-year-old children. Cognitive
520	Development, 22(3), 353-364. doi: 10.1016/j.cogdev.2007.01.001
521	Meltzoff, A. N. (2005). Imitation and other minds: The "like me" hypothesis (Vol. 2, pp.
522	55-77): MIT Press Cambridge, MA.
523	Meltzoff, A. N., & Beeghly, D. C. M. (1990). Foundations for developing a concept of
524	self: The role of imitation in relating self to other and the value of social

625	mirroring, social modeling, and self practice in infancy The self in transition:
626	Infancy to childhood (pp. 139-164). Chicago, IL, US: University of Chicago
627	Press.
628	Meltzoff, A. N., & Keith Moore, M. (1992). Early imitation within a functional
629	framework: The importance of person identity, movement, and development.
630	Infant Behavior and Development, 15(4), 479-505. doi:
631	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0163-6383(92)80015-M
632	Nagell, K., Olguin, R. S., & Tomasello, M. (1993). Processes of Social-Learning in the
633	Tool Use of Chimpanzees (Pan-Troglodytes) and Human Children (Homo-
634	Sapiens). Journal of Comparative Psychology, 107(2), 174-186.
635	Nielsen, M., & Blank, C. (2011). Imitation in young children: When who gets copied is
636	more important than what gets copied. Developmental Psychology, 47(4), 1050-
637	1053.
638	Nielsen, M., Collier-Baker, E., Davis, J. M., & Suddendorf, T. (2005). Imitation
639	recognition in a captive chimpanzee (Pan troglodytes). Animal Cognition, 8(1),
640	31-36. doi: 10.1007/s10071-004-0232-0
641	Nielsen, M., Mushin, I., Tomaselli, K., & Whiten, A. (2014). Where Culture Takes Hold
642	"Overimitation" and Its Flexible Deployment in Western, Aboriginal, and
643	Bushmen Children. Child Development, 85(6), 2169-2184.
644	Nielsen, M., Simcock, G., & Jenkins, L. (2008). The effect of social engagement on 24-
645	month-olds' imitation from live and televised models. Developmental Science,
646	11(5), 722-731.

547	Nielsen, M., & Tomaselli, K. (2010). Overimitation in Kalahari Bushman Children and
548	the Origins of Human Cultural Cognition. Psychological Science, 21(5), 729-736.
549	doi: 10.1177/0956797610368808
550	Nishida, T. (1987). Local traditions and cultural transmission. In S. S. Smuts, D. L.
551	Cheney, R. M. Seyfarth, R. W. Wrangham & T. T. Strusaker (Eds.), Primate
552	Societies (pp. 462-474). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
553	Ottoni, E. B., de Resende, B. D., & Izar, P. (2005). Watching the best nutcrackers: what
554	capuchin monkeys (Cebus apella) know about others' tool-using skills. Animal
555	Cognition, 8(4), 215-219.
556	Over, H., & Carpenter, M. (2012). Putting the social into social learning: Explaining both
557	selectivity and fidelity in children's copying behavior. Journal of Comparative
558	Psychology, 126(2), 182-192. doi: 10.1037/a0024555
559	Over, H., & Carpenter, M. (2013). The Social Side of Imitation. Child Development
560	Perspectives, 7(1), 6-11.
561	Over, H., Carpenter, M., Spears, R., & Gattis, M. (2013). Children Selectively Trust
562	Individuals Who Have Imitated Them. Social Development, 22(2), 215-224.
563	Panger, M. A., Perry, S., Rose, L., Gros-Luis, J., Vogel, E., Mackinnon, K. C., & Baker,
564	M. (2002). Cross-site differences in foraging behavior of white-faced capuchins
565	(Cebus capuchinus). American Journal of Physical Anthropology, 119, 52-56.
566	Paukner, A., Suomi, S. J., Visalberghi, E., & Ferrari, P. F. (2009). Capuchin monkeys
567	display affiliation towards humans who imitate them. Science, 325, 880-883.

668 Perry, S. (2009). Conformism in the food processing techniques of white-faced capuchin 669 monkeys (Cebus capucinus). Animal Cognition, 12(5), 705-716. doi: 670 10.1007/s10071-009-0230-3 671 Perry, S., Baker, M., Fedigan, L., Gros-Louis, J., Jack, K., MacKinnon, K. C., ... Rose, 672 L. (2003). Social conventions in wild white-faced capuchin monkeys - Evidence 673 for traditions in a neotropical primate. Current Anthropology, 44(2), 241-268. 674 Price, E., & Caldwell, C. A. (2007). Artificially generated cultural variation between two 675 groups of captive monkeys, Colobus guereza kikuyu4ensis. Behavioural 676 Processes, 74, 13-20. 677 Price, E. E., Caldwell, C. A., & Whiten, A. (2010). Comparative cultural cognition. Wiley 678 *Interdisciplinary Reviews: Cognitive Science, 1*(1), 23-31. 679 Price, E. E., Lambeth, S. P., Schapiro, S. J., & Whiten, A. (2009). A potent effect of 680 observational learning on chimpanzee tool construction. Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences, 276, 3377-3383. 681 682 Price, E. E., & Whiten, A. (2012). Social learning and culture in primates: Evidence from 683 free-ranging and captive populations (pp. 862-878). 684 Rakoczy, H., Hamann, K., Warneken, F., & Tomasello, M. (2010). Bigger knows better: 685 Young children selectively learn rule games from adults rather than from peers. 686 British Journal of Developmental Psychology, 28(4), 785-798. doi: 687 10.1348/026151009X479178 688 Rapaport, L. G. (1999). Provisioning of Young in Golden Lion Tamarins (Callitrichidae, 689 Leontopithecus rosalia): A Test of the Information Hypothesis. Ethology, 105(7), 619-636. doi: 10.1046/j.1439-0310.1999.00449.x 690

691 Russon, A. E., & Galdikas, B. M. F. (1995). Constraints on great apes' imitation: Model 692 and action selectivity in rehabilitant orangutan (<em>Pongo pygmaeus</em>) 693 imitation. Journal of Comparative Psychology, 109(1), 5-17. 694 Ryalls, B. O., Gul, R. E., & Ryalls, K. R. (2000). Infant Imitation of Peer and Adult 695 Models: Evidence for a Peer Model Advantage. Merrill-Palmer Quarterly, 46(1), 696 188-202. doi: 10.2307/23093348 697 Seehagen, S., & Herbert, J. S. (2011). Infant Imitation From Televised Peer and Adult 698 Models. Infancy, 16(2), 113-136. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-7078.2010.00045.x 699 Shutts, K., Kinzler, K. D., McKee, C. B., & Spelke, E. S. (2009). Social Information 700 Guides Infants' Selection of Foods. Journal of Cognition and Development, 10(1-701 2), 1-17. 702 Stoinski, T. S., Drayton, L. A., & Price, E. E. (2011). Evidence of social learning in 703 black-and-white ruffed lemurs (Varecia variegata) (Vol. 7). 704 Swaney, W., Kendal, J., Capon, H., Brown, C., & Laland, K. N. (2001). Familiarity 705 facilitates social learning of foraging behaviour in the guppy. Animal Behaviour, 706 62(3), 591-598. 707 Tennie, C., Call, J., & Tomasello, M. (2009). Ratcheting up the ratchet: on the evolution 708 of cumulative culture. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B-709 Biological Sciences, 364(1528), 2405-2415. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2009.0052 710 Tomasello, M. (1996). Do Apes Ape? In C. M. Heyes & B. G. J. Galef (Eds.), Social 711 learning in animals: the roots of culture. (pp. 319-346). New York: Academic 712 Press.

713 Troseth, G. L., & DeLoache, J. S. (1998). The medium can obscure the message: Young 714 children's understanding of video. Child Development, 69, 950-965. 715 Uzgiris, I. (1981). Two functions of imitation during infancy. *International Journal of* 716 Behavioral Development, 4, 1-12. 717 van de Waal, E., Borgeaud, C., & Whiten, A. (2013). Potent Social Learning and 718 Conformity Shape a Wild Primate's Foraging Decisions. Science, 340(6131), 483-719 485. doi: 10.1126/science.1232769 720 van de Waal, E., Renevey, N., Favre, C. M., & Bshary, R. (2010). Selective attention to 721 philopatric models causes directed social learning in wild vervet monkeys. 722 van Leeuwen, E. C., Cronin, K., & Haun, D. B. M. (2014). A group-specific arbitrary 723 tradition in chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes). Animal Cognition, 17(6), 1421-1425. 724 doi: 10.1007/s10071-014-0766-8 725 van Leeuwen, E. J. C., & Haun, D. B. M. (2013). Conformity in nonhuman primates: fad 726 or fact? Evolution and Human Behavior, 34(1), 1-7. doi: 727 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2012.07.005 728 van Leeuwen, E. J. C., Kendal, R. L., Tennie, C., & Haun, D. B. M. (in press). 729 Conformity and its look-a-likes. *Animal Behaviour*. 730 van Schaik, C. P. (2003). Local traditions in orangutans and chimpanzees: Social learning 731 and social tolerance. In D. M. Fragaszy & S. Perry (Eds.), The Biology of 732 Traditions: Models and Evidence (pp. 297-328). Cambridge: Cambridge 733 University Press.

- van Schaik, C. P., Ancrenaz, M., Borgen, G., Galdikas, B., Knott, C. D., Singleton, I., . . .
- Merrill, M. (2003). Orangutan cultures and the evolution of material culture.
- 736 Science, 299, 102-105.
- Van Schaik, C. P., & Aureli, F. (2000). The natural history of valuable relationships in
- primates. *Natural conflict resolution*, 307-333.
- Voelkl, B., & Huber, L. (2000). True imitation in marmosets. *Animal Behaviour*, 60, 195-
- 740 202.
- Watson, C. F. I., & Caldwell, C. A. (2009). Understanding Behavioral Traditions in
- Primates: Are Current Experimental Approaches Too Focused on Food?
- 743 International Journal of Primatology, 30(1), 143-167. doi: 10.1007/s10764-009-
- 744 9334-5
- Whiten, A., Goodall, J., McGrew, W. C., Nishida, T., Reynolds, V., Sugiyama, Y., ...
- Boesch, C. (2001). Charting cultural variation in chimpanzees. *Behaviour*, 138,
- 747 1481-1516.
- Whiten, A., McGuigan, N., Marshall-Pescini, S., & Hopper, L. M. (2009). Emulation,
- imitation, over-imitation and the scope of culture for child and chimpanzee.
- 750 Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences,
- 751 364(1528), 2417-2428. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2009.0069
- Williamson, R. A., Meltzoff, A. N., & Markman, E. M. (2008). Prior experiences and
- perceived efficacy influence 3-year-olds' imitation. *Developmental Psychology*,
- 754 44(1), 275-285. doi: 10.1037/0012-1649.44.1.275
- Wood, L. A., Kendal, R. L., & Flynn, E. G. (2012). Context-dependent model-based
- biases in cultural transmission: children's imitation is affected by model age over

757	model knowledge state. <i>Evolution and Human Behavior</i> , 33(4), 387-394. doi:
758	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2011.11.010
759	Wood, L. A., Kendal, R. L., & Flynn, E. G. (2013). Whom do children copy? Model-
760	based biases in social learning. Developmental Review, 33(4), 341-356.
761	Wood, L. A., Kendal, R. L., & Flynn, E. G. (2015). Does a peer model's task proficiency
762	influence children's solution choice and innovation? Journal of Experimental
763	Child Psychology, 139, 190-202. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jecp.2015.06.003
764	Zentall, T. R., Sutton, J. E., & Sherburne, L. M. (1996). True imitative learning in
765	pigeons. Psychological Science, 7, 343-346.
766	Zmyj, N., Buttelmann, D., Carpenter, M., & Daum, M. M. (2010). The reliability of a
767	model influences 14-month-olds' imitation. Journal of Experimental Child
768	Psychology, 106(4), 208-220. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jecp.2010.03.002
769	Zmyj, N., Daum, M. M., Prinz, W., Nielsen, M., & Aschersleben, G. (2012). Fourteen-
770	Month-Olds' Imitation of Differently Aged Models. Infant and Child
771	Development, 21(3), 250-266. doi: 10.1002/icd.750
772	