

# Cardiorespiratory fitness and aerobic performance adaptations to a 4-week sprint interval training in young healthy untrained females

Mykolas Kavaliauskas<sup>1</sup>  · Thomas P. Steer<sup>2</sup> · John A. Babraj<sup>2</sup>

Received: 27 June 2016 / Accepted: 7 September 2016  
© The Author(s) 2016. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

## Abstract

**Purpose** The aim of this study was to test the effects of sprint interval training (SIT) on cardiorespiratory fitness and aerobic performance measures in young females.

**Methods** Eight healthy, untrained females (age  $21 \pm 1$  years; height  $165 \pm 5$  cm; body mass  $63 \pm 6$  kg) completed cycling peak oxygen uptake ( $\dot{V}O_2$  peak), 10-km cycling time trial (TT) and critical power (CP) tests pre- and post-SIT. SIT protocol included  $4 \times 30$ -s “all-out” cycling efforts against 7 % body mass interspersed with 4 min of active recovery performed twice per week for 4 weeks (eight sessions in total).

**Results** There was no significant difference in  $\dot{V}O_2$  peak following SIT compared to the control period (control period:  $31.7 \pm 3.0$  ml  $kg^{-1}$   $min^{-1}$ ; post-SIT:  $30.9 \pm 4.5$  ml  $kg^{-1}$   $min^{-1}$ ;  $p > 0.05$ ), but SIT significantly improved time to exhaustion (TTE) (control period:  $710 \pm 101$  s; post-SIT:  $798 \pm 127$  s;  $p = 0.00$ ), 10-km cycling TT (control period:  $1055 \pm 129$  s; post-SIT:  $997 \pm 110$  s;  $p = 0.004$ ) and CP (control period:  $1.8 \pm 0.3$  W  $kg^{-1}$ ; post-SIT:  $2.3 \pm 0.6$  W  $kg^{-1}$ ;  $p = 0.01$ ).

**Conclusions** These results demonstrate that young untrained females are responsive to SIT as measured by TTE, 10-km cycling TT and CP tests. However, eight sessions of SIT over 4 weeks are not enough to provide sufficient training stimulus to increase  $\dot{V}O_2$  peak.

**Keywords** High-intensity interval training · Aerobic capacity · Cycling time trial · Critical power

## Introduction

Low-volume high-intensity interval training (HIT) is a time-efficient training paradigm involving repeated bursts of “all-out” efforts followed by a period of passive or active rest [1]. Sprint interval training (SIT), in the form of 30-s repeated Wingate tests performed 4–6 times with  $\sim 4$  min of recovery, is a commonly used HIT modality [2]. SIT performed for as little as six sessions over 2 weeks has been shown to improve health and fitness in a wide range of populations [3]. Some of the reported benefits following SIT include rapid changes in aerobic energy metabolism [1], a rightward shift in the blood lactate curve during incremental cycling exercise [4] and improved anaerobic metabolism [5].

Most studies investigating SIT have used all male or mixed-sex participants [6, 7]. However, it has been suggested that females may not be as responsive to SIT as males [8]. This could be attributed to differences in the adaptive responses. For example, Esbjörnsson-Liljedahl et al. [9] reported a smaller muscle glycogen utilisation in type I fibres after a single Wingate test in females than males. Furthermore, compared to males, the glucose transporter 4 (GLUT4) levels are significantly lower in females following SIT [10]. In addition, lipid oxidation capacity as measured by the changes in the maximal activity of  $\beta$ -hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase ( $\beta$ -HAD) only increased in males after SIT [10]. Similarly, a greater mitochondrial biogenesis during SIT was reported in males compared to females [11].

Despite these sex-specific differences in skeletal muscle adaptations, Astorino et al. [12] reported similar magnitude

✉ Mykolas Kavaliauskas  
m.kavaliauskas@napier.ac.uk

<sup>1</sup> School of Applied Sciences, Edinburgh Napier University, 2.B.38, Sighthill Campus, Edinburgh EH11 4BN, UK

<sup>2</sup> Division of Sport and Exercise Sciences, Abertay University, Dundee, UK

of change in maximal oxygen uptake ( $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ ) and power output in a group of recreationally active men and women matched for age, physical activity and  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$  following low-volume SIT. This was further supported by Scalzo et al.'s study [11], which found no effect of sex on  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ , 40-km cycling TT and relative power output following a 3-week SIT in young, recreationally active males and females.

A retrospective study by Astorino and Schubert [13] compared changes in  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ , heart rate and fat oxidation following two types of commonly used interval training in two different groups of participants. A higher percentage of 'non-responders' has been found following a 2-week SIT in mixed-sex participants when compared to a 12-week high-volume, HIT in just females [13]. Specifically, 65 % of active participants showed meaningful improvement in  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$  following SIT compared to 95 % of sedentary women that completed HIT [13]. Despite methodological differences, these findings have some great practical implications for exercise prescription as SIT appears to result in a lower frequency and magnitude of adaptations related to cardiovascular fitness and metabolic health. However, the effectiveness of SIT for improving aerobic performance variables in young, untrained females remains unclear.

Therefore, this study sought to determine whether low-volume SIT, with a reduced resistance, could improve cardiorespiratory fitness and aerobic performance measures, as represented by  $\dot{V}O_2$  peak, 10-km cycling TT and critical power (CP), in young, healthy, untrained females. It was hypothesised that 4 weeks of twice weekly SIT (eight sessions in total) would result in a significant improvement in all measures.

## Methods

### Participants

Eight young, healthy, untrained females, who were exercising for less than 60 min 2–3 times per week but not following a structured training programme, volunteered to participate in the study (age  $21 \pm 1$  years; height  $165 \pm 5$  cm; days since last menstruation—testing session 1 (pre-SIT-1):  $17 \pm 7$  days; testing session 2 (pre-SIT-2):  $22 \pm 8$  days; testing session 3 (post-SIT):  $20 \pm 9$  days). Across the control and intervention periods, participants were asked to maintain their normal diet and exercise pattern, but no attempt was made to record this. Changes in body composition during the control period and post-SIT are shown in Table 1, with no statistical difference across the time points. Participants were recruited via local advertisement and provided written informed consent. Anybody who had suffered a lower limb

**Table 1** Changes in body composition during control period and post-SIT (mean  $\pm$  SD)

	Control period		Post-SIT
	Pre-SIT-1	Pre-SIT-2	
Body mass (kg)	63 $\pm$ 6	62 $\pm$ 6	62 $\pm$ 7
Body fat (%)	28 $\pm$ 4	27 $\pm$ 4	27 $\pm$ 4
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	23 $\pm$ 3	23 $\pm$ 2	23 $\pm$ 3

injury in the past 6 months or with a chronic health condition was excluded from the study. The study was approved by the University Research Ethics Committee and was carried out in line with the Declaration of Helsinki.

### Baseline testing

Seven days prior to baseline testing (pre-SIT-1), participants completed a familiarisation session involving a 30-s cycle maintaining between 60 and 100 r min<sup>-1</sup> [14]. Participants were asked to refrain from caffeine and alcohol consumption and participation in physical activity for 24 h prior to each test [14]. All participants' body composition wearing minimal clothing was assessed using the leg-to-leg bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) (Tanita TBF 300, Tanita Co., Japan) before each testing session that was separated by 48 h [15] and carried out in the following order and at the same time of day.

### Assessment of $\dot{V}O_2$ peak

Participants performed a continuous incremental cycling test to volitional exhaustion on a cycle ergometer (Monark Ergonomic 835, Monark Exercise AB, Sweden) to determine  $\dot{V}O_2$  peak via an automated gas analysis system (Metalyzer<sup>®</sup>3B gas analyser, Cortex, Leipzig, Germany). Time to exhaustion (TTE) was also recorded using a Quantum 5500 stop clock (EA Combs Ltd., UK). Each participant completed a 5-min warm-up, maintaining a speed above 60 r min<sup>-1</sup> with no applied resistance [16]. The participant then had to maintain a cadence of 70 r min<sup>-1</sup> or above throughout, with 0.5 kg added to the cradle every 4 min until volitional exhaustion or they could no longer maintain the required cadence [17].  $\dot{V}O_2$  peak was defined as the highest 30-s average recorded during the test. Verbal encouragement was given throughout the test [18].

### 10-km cycling time trial

Each participant completed a 5-min warm-up, as above, prior to performing a self-paced 10-km cycling TT (Monark Ergonomic Model 835, Monark Exercise AB, Sweden).

Instructions were given to each participant before each session to complete the test as fast as possible against a set resistance of 1.5 kg applied to the flywheel, ensuring that greater cadence leads to a greater power production and a faster completion time. Time was recorded following the warm-up using a Quantum 5500 stop clock (EA Combs Ltd., UK). Participants were informed of the distance covered every 1 km but not the time taken. Verbal encouragement was given throughout the test [18].

### Critical power

Participants performed a 5-min warm-up, as above, prior to the CP test. The CP test involved a 3-min “all-out” cycling effort against 4.5 % body mass [19]. The test began as soon as they reached 110 r min<sup>-1</sup> and verbal encouragement was given throughout [18]. The participants were given no feedback on time, speed or power during the test. CP was calculated as the average power output over the final 30 s of the test [19].

### Control period

The participants reported back to the laboratory 4 weeks after baseline testing to repeat the tests (pre-SIT-2) in the same order with 48 h between each test. During the control period the coefficients of variation for the repeated measures were 5.2 % for  $\dot{V}O_2$  peak and 8.2 % for TT.

### Sprint interval training protocol

The SIT protocol was similar to that used previously by Lindsay et al. [20]. Eight sessions of SIT were spread over a 4-week period, with two training sessions performed each week separated by at least 48 h of rest. Each session consisted of 4 × 30-s “all-out” cycling efforts performed on a Monark 894E ergometer (Varberg, Sweden) against 7 % body mass, with a 4-min recovery period between each sprint. Body mass percentages were reduced from what has been previously used due to the difference in muscle mass between males and females [21]. The weight was automatically released from the bike cradle once the participant had reached a speed of 110 r min<sup>-1</sup>, which initiated the start of the 30-s cycle sprint. Peak and average power output during each sprint was automatically calculated using Monark Anaerobic Test Software (version 2.24.2; Monark Exercise AB, Sweden). During the recovery period, participants remained on the bike and cycled at a low cadence (<50 r min<sup>-1</sup>) without a weighted resistance.

### Post-training assessment

Participants repeated baseline tests (post-SIT) 7 days after completion of the last training session, with the  $\dot{V}O_2$  peak, 10-km cycling TT and CP test repeated in the same order and at the same time of the day, with 48 h between each test.


### Statistical analysis

All data are reported as mean ± standard deviation. Data were checked for skewness and kurtosis and these values did not exceed twice the standard error; therefore, the data were deemed to be normally distributed. A one-way repeated-measures ANOVA with LSD post hoc testing was used to compare between the three time points. The assumption of sphericity was determined by Mauchly’s test. Significance was accepted at  $p < 0.05$  in all analyses. Cohen’s  $d$  effect sizes were calculated using the correction for dependence between means [22] and defined as follows:  $d < 0.2$  trivial effect;  $d = 0.2–0.5$  small effect;  $d = 0.6–1.1$  moderate effect;  $d > 1.2$  large effect.

## Results

### $\dot{V}O_2$ peak

$\dot{V}O_2$  peak was not significantly different during the control period (pre-SIT-1: 31.8 ± 3.5 ml kg<sup>-1</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>; pre-SIT-2: 31.7 ± 3.0 ml kg<sup>-1</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>;  $p > 0.05$ ; Fig. 1). Following 4 weeks of SIT,  $\dot{V}O_2$  peak was not significantly different compared to pre-training (pre-SIT-2: 31.7 ± 3.0 ml kg<sup>-1</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>; post-SIT: 30.9 ± 4.5 ml kg<sup>-1</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>;  $p > 0.05$ ; Fig. 1).



**Fig. 1** Absolute changes in  $\dot{V}O_2$  peak during control period (pre-SIT-1 and pre-SIT-2) and post-SIT

## Time to exhaustion

TTE performance was not significantly different during the control period (pre-SIT-1:  $728 \pm 117$  s; pre-SIT-2:  $710 \pm 101$  s;  $p > 0.05$ ; Fig. 2a). Following 4 weeks of SIT, TTE performance was significantly improved by  $12 \pm 4$  % (pre-SIT-2:  $710 \pm 101$  s; post-SIT:  $798 \pm 127$  s;  $p = 0.001$ ;  $d = -2.6$ ; Fig. 2a). The magnitude of change was significantly greater following SIT compared to the control period (pre-SIT:  $-2 \pm 6$  %, post-SIT:  $12 \pm 4$  %;  $p = 0.004$ ;  $d = -0.9$ ; Fig. 2b).

## 10-km cycling time trial

Time trial performance was not significantly different during the control period (pre-SIT-1:  $1063 \pm 149$  s; pre-SIT-2:  $1055 \pm 129$  s;  $p > 0.05$ ; Fig. 3a). Following 4 weeks of SIT, TT performance was significantly reduced by  $6 \pm 4$  % (pre-SIT-2:  $1055 \pm 129$  s; post-SIT:  $997 \pm 110$  s;  $p = 0.004$ ;  $d = 1.7$ ; Fig. 3a). The magnitude change was significantly greater following SIT compared


to the control period (pre-SIT:  $-1 \pm 2$  %; post-SIT:  $-6 \pm 4$  %;  $p = 0.002$ ;  $d = 1.3$ ; Fig. 3b).

## Critical power


CP was not significantly different during the control period (pre-SIT-1:  $1.9 \pm 0.4$  W  $\text{kg}^{-1}$ ; pre-SIT-2:  $1.8 \pm 0.3$  W  $\text{kg}^{-1}$ ;  $p > 0.05$ ; Fig. 4a). Following 4 weeks of SIT, CP was significantly increased by  $27 \pm 18$  % (pre-SIT-2:  $1.8 \pm 0.3$  W  $\text{kg}^{-1}$ ; post-SIT:  $2.3 \pm 0.6$  W  $\text{kg}^{-1}$ ;  $p = 0.01$ ;  $d = -2.5$ ; Fig. 4a). The magnitude of change was significantly higher following SIT compared to the control period (pre-SIT:  $-4 \pm 6$  %, post-SIT:  $27 \pm 18$  %;  $p = 0.002$ ;  $d = -0.9$ ; Fig. 4b).

## Power production during training


Peak power was significantly greater following 4 weeks of SIT (training session 1:  $575 \pm 85$  W; training session 8:  $617 \pm 76$  W;  $p = 0.04$ ;  $d = -0.9$ ). However, average power was not significantly different following SIT



**Fig. 2** a Absolute changes in time to exhaustion during control period (pre-SIT-1 and pre-SIT-2) and post-SIT. b Mean and individual percentage changes in time to exhaustion during control period and after 4 weeks of SIT training.  $\dagger p < 0.005$  pre-SIT2 compared to post-SIT;  $**p < 0.005$  change over control period compared to change over SIT period



**Fig. 3** a Absolute changes in 10-km cycling time trial performance during control period (pre-SIT-1 and pre-SIT-2) and post-SIT. b Mean and individual percentage changes in 10-km cycling time trial performance during control period and after 4 weeks of SIT training.  $\dagger p < 0.005$  pre-SIT2 compared to post-SIT;  $**p < 0.005$  change over control period compared to change over SIT period



**Fig. 4** **a** Absolute changes in critical power during control period (pre-SIT-1 and pre-SIT-2) and post-SIT. **b** Mean and individual percentage changes in critical power during control period and after 4 weeks of SIT training. \* $p < 0.01$  pre-SIT2 compared to post-SIT; \*\* $p < 0.005$  change over control period compared to change over SIT period

(training session 1:  $399 \pm 52$  W; training session 8:  $413 \pm 42$  W;  $p = 0.15$ ;  $d = -0.6$ ). The sum of the peak power across all four cycling sprints was significantly greater following SIT (training session 1:  $2024 \pm 271$  W; training session 8:  $2213 \pm 269$  W;  $p = 0.01$ ;  $d = -1.3$ ). The sum of the average power across all four cycling sprints was also significantly greater following SIT (training session 1:  $1406 \pm 153$  W; training session 8:  $1478 \pm 153$  W;  $p = 0.01$ ;  $d = -1.3$ ).

## Discussion

The present study showed that a 4-week SIT programme consisting of  $4 \times 30$ -s “all-out” sprints performed twice per week improves aerobic performance measures in young, untrained females. A time-efficient SIT protocol significantly improved TTE, 10-km cycling TT and CP. Based on individual results, all participants ( $n = 8$ ) were responsive to SIT by showing positive change in these endurance parameters, but there was a variable level of responses. In addition, eight sessions of SIT, at a reduced

resistance, did not provide sufficient training stimulus to improve cardiorespiratory fitness as measured by  $\dot{V}O_2$  peak.

Following eight sessions of SIT over 4 weeks, we report no improvements in  $\dot{V}O_2$  peak in females (Fig. 1). The non-significant change in  $\dot{V}O_2$  peak in the current study is similar to the findings by Burgomaster et al. [6] who found no changes in  $\dot{V}O_2$  peak in eight healthy participants, including two females, following 2 weeks of SIT. In contrast, a meta-analysis by Gist et al. [2] reported  $\sim 8\%$  increase in the maximal oxygen uptake ( $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ ) following SIT in young, healthy participants in comparison to no-exercise control groups. When considering sex-specific responses, the mean effects of HIT on  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$  in active, non-athletic females were shown to be  $3.6\%$  [23]. Scalzo et al. [11] found an even greater improvement ( $7.6\%$ ) in  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$  in young, recreationally active females following a 3-week SIT intervention consisting of three sessions per week.

Training variables, namely training frequency and total length of the SIT programme used in this study may explain the non-significant change in  $\dot{V}O_2$  peak. Incidence of ‘non-responders’ for  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$  following 2 weeks of SIT was reported to be  $35\%$  [13], whereas based on five previous SIT studies lasting between 3 and 6 weeks the incidence of non-response for  $\dot{V}O_2$  peak has been shown to be  $22\%$  [24]. This suggests that shorter SIT programmes are associated with a higher percentage of ‘non-responders’. In addition, there is a higher magnitude of change in  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$  following longer SIT programmes. This has been recently demonstrated by Bagley et al. [25] who found that females improved  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$  by  $19\%$  following a 12-week SIT intervention consisting of 4 bouts of 20-s ‘maximal efforts’ performed three times per week. Interestingly, females were also more responsive to SIT than men as demonstrated by greater  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$  gains [25].

Training frequency appears to be another important variable determining the physiological adaptations to SIT programmes. No ‘non-responders’ were reported when participants trained four times per week in comparison to a relatively high number of ‘non-responders’ ( $37\%$ ) when training was performed three times per week [24]. Therefore, training frequency should be considered when designing SIT programmes as two sessions per week are not enough to reach a minimum training dose needed to increase  $\dot{V}O_2$  peak in young, untrained females.

Despite no changes in  $\dot{V}O_2$  peak, TTE during the incremental cycling test was significantly increased by  $12 \pm 4\%$  following SIT compared to the control period (Fig. 2a, b). In recreationally active participants, exercise TTE when cycling at  $\sim 80\%$   $\dot{V}O_2$  peak increased by  $100\%$  following six sessions of SIT over 2 weeks [6]. In contrast, trained

male participants increased TTE by 4 % after six sessions of SIT [4]. Improvements in TTE may be explained through increase in mitochondrial enzyme content and activity [6] and a rightward shift in the blood lactate curve [4] after SIT. Although, these mechanisms were not measured it seems reasonable to suggest similar adaptations occurring in the female participants in this study.

Together with an improved endurance capacity (i.e. TTE), there was a significant reduction ( $6 \pm 4$  %) in self-paced 10-km cycling TT following SIT compared to the control period (Fig. 3a, b). This is similar to the findings in male participants as six sessions of SIT have been shown to increase cycling TT performance by between 6 and 10 % [4, 26]. With no improvement in  $\dot{V}O_2$  peak reported, it would suggest that the improvement in TT performance was due to peripheral adaptations. Indeed, with the TT performed against the same resistance, it suggests a greater ability to maintain a higher cadence after SIT. This ability to maintain a higher cadence may be related to improved oxygen kinetics. As cycling cadence increases there is an increase in oxygen consumption, which is lower with training due to improved oxygen extraction [27]. Future work should test the changes in oxygen kinetics and its effect on TT performance following SIT in females.

We also demonstrate, for the first time, that one of the endurance adaptations to SIT is an increase in CP, which improved by  $27 \pm 18$  % after eight sessions of SIT (Fig. 4a, b). CP could be viewed as the highest sustainable work rate [28]. Following 12 HIT sessions over 4 weeks, CP has been shown to be increased by approximately 10 % [29]. However, changes in CP have not been reported following SIT. A study by Demarle et al. [30] demonstrated that after 16 sessions of long-duration HIT the oxygen deficit of an exercise bout is reduced. Furthermore, following six sessions of SIT over 2 weeks in young, healthy participants, there is an enhanced oxygen extraction rate within skeletal muscle [31]. It is predicted that increasing the rate of oxygen extraction requires increased mitochondrial volume [32]. It has been well documented that SIT increases both mitochondrial enzyme content and activity in the skeletal muscle [1, 6]. Therefore, similar adaptations in the present study would be expected to increase the capacity for muscle fractional oxygen extraction, leading to an increased CP.

## Limitations

Our study is not free of limitations. First, dietary intake and physical activity outside the training intervention were not monitored. However, no changes in body composition (Table 1) throughout the study suggests that participants'

normal dietary practices and physical activity patterns remained unchanged. Post-training assessments were performed 7 days after the final training session, which is in contrast to other studies that examined training-induced changes within 48–96 h of the final session [6, 7]. This was done to help determine whether adaptations following SIT can be maintained for at least a week. In addition, we did not compare the effects of SIT to other types of training, such as traditional endurance training and HIT, as done in previous studies [13, 33]. It should be noted, though, that studies have failed to fully control exercise workload as no studies to date have matched for increase in daily energy expenditure following each type of exercise. Finally, the current study provides information on cardiorespiratory fitness and aerobic performance adaptations to SIT in a small female population ( $n = 8$ ). However, it has been shown that following endurance training there is a wide range of adaptations to  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$  [34] and this can be seen in our data as well (Fig. 1). Therefore, there is a need for larger population-based studies to determine the distribution of adaptation and possible gene/training interactions with SIT.

## Conclusion

The findings of the current study show that SIT can be used as a time-efficient method to improve aerobic performance measures in young, untrained females. In terms of practical relevance, female student-athletes, who only train recreationally and do not necessarily follow a structured training programme may benefit from doing SIT. However, to optimise SIT prescription, training frequency and total length of the SIT programme should be considered, particularly if the main goal is to increase cardiorespiratory fitness.

## Compliance with ethical standards

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**Ethical approval** All procedures were approved by the University Research Ethics Committee and were carried out in line with the Declaration of Helsinki.

**Informed consent** Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

## References

1. Gibala MJ, McGee SL (2008) Metabolic adaptations to short-term high-intensity interval training: a little pain for a lot of gain? *Exerc Sport Sci Rev* 36:58–63
2. Gist NH, Fedewa MV, Dishman RK et al (2014) Sprint interval training effects on aerobic capacity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports Med* 44:269–279
3. Gillen JB, Gibala MJ (2014) Is high-intensity interval training a time-efficient exercise strategy to improve health and fitness? *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* 39:409–412
4. Jakeman J, Adamson S, Babraj J (2012) Extremely short duration high-intensity training substantially improves endurance performance in triathletes. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* 37:976–981
5. Rodas G, Ventura JL, Cadefau JA et al (2000) A short training programme for the rapid improvement of both aerobic and anaerobic metabolism. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 82:480–486
6. Burgomaster KA, Hughes SC, Heigenhauser GJF et al (2005) Six sessions of sprint interval training increases muscle oxidative potential and cycle endurance capacity in humans. *J Appl Physiol* 98:1985–1990
7. Hazell TJ, MacPherson REK, Gravelle BMR et al (2010) 10 or 30-s sprint interval training bouts enhance both aerobic and anaerobic performance. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 110:153–160
8. Gibala MJ, Gillen JB, Percival ME (2014) Physiological and health-related adaptations to low-volume interval training: influences of nutrition and sex. *Sports Med* 44:127–137
9. Esbjörnsson-Liljedahl M, Sundberg CJ, Norman B et al (1999) Metabolic response in type I and type II muscle fibers during a 30-s cycle sprint in men and women. *J Appl Physiol* 87:1326–1332
10. Gillen JB, Percival ME, Skelly LE et al (2014) Three minutes of all-out intermittent exercise per week increases skeletal muscle oxidative capacity and improves cardiometabolic health. *PLoS One* 9:e111489
11. Scalzo RL, Peltonen GL, Binns SE et al (2014) Greater muscle protein synthesis and mitochondrial biogenesis in males compared with females during sprint interval training. *FASEB J* 28:2705–2714
12. Astorino TA, Allen RP, Robertson DW et al (2011) Adaptations to high-intensity training are independent of gender. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 111:1279–1286
13. Astorino TA, Schubert MM (2014) Individual responses to completion of short-term and chronic interval training: a retrospective study. *PLoS One* 9:e97638
14. Perry CG, Heigenhauser GJ, Bonen A et al (2008) High-intensity aerobic interval training increases fat and carbohydrate metabolic capacities in human skeletal muscle. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* 33:1112–1123
15. Atkinson G, Todd C, Reilly T et al (2005) Diurnal variation in cycling performance: influence of warm-up. *J Sports Sci* 23:321–329
16. Gray S, Nimmo M (2001) Effects of active, passive or no warm-up on metabolism and performance during high-intensity exercise. *J Sports Sci* 19:693–700
17. Stockhausen W, Grathwohl D, Bürklin C et al (1997) Stage duration and increase of work load in incremental testing on a cycle ergometer. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 76:295–301
18. Balmer J, Bird S, Davison RC et al (2004) Mechanically braked Wingate powers: agreement between SRM, corrected and conventional methods of measurement. *J Sports Sci* 22:661–667
19. Bergstrom HC, Housh TJ, Zuniga JM et al (2012) A new single work bout test to estimate critical power and anaerobic work capacity. *J Strength Cond Res* 26:656–663
20. Lindsay FH, Hawley JA, Myburgh KH et al (1996) Improved athletic performance in highly trained cyclists after interval training. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 28:1427–1434
21. Janssen I, Heymsfield SB, Wang ZM et al (2000) Skeletal muscle mass and distribution in 468 men and women aged 18–88 yr. *J Appl Physiol* 89:81–88
22. Morris SB, DeShon RP (2002) Combining effect size estimates in meta-analysis with repeated measures and independent-groups designs. *Psychol Methods* 7:105–125
23. Weston M, Taylor KL, Batterham AM et al (2014) Effects of low-volume high-intensity interval training (HIT) on fitness in adults: a meta-analysis of controlled and non-controlled trials. *Sports Med* 44:1005–1017
24. Gurd BJ, Giles MD, Bonafiglia JT et al (2016) Incidence of nonresponse and individual patterns of response following sprint interval training. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* 41:229–234
25. Bagley L, Slevin M, Bradburn S et al (2016) Sex differences in the effects of 12 weeks sprint interval training on body fat mass and the rates of fatty acid oxidation and  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$  during exercise. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med* 2:e000056
26. Babraj JA, Vollaard NBJ, Keast C et al (2009) Extremely short duration high intensity interval training substantially improves insulin action in young healthy males. *BMC Endocr Disord* 9:3
27. Marsh AP, Martin PE (1997) Effect of cycling experience, aerobic power, and power output on preferred and most economical cycling cadences. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 29:1225–1232
28. Vanhatalo A, Doust JH, Burnley M (2008) Determination of critical power using a 3-min all-out cycling test. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 39:548–555
29. Vanhatalo A, Doust JH, Burnley M (2008) A 3-min all-out cycling test is sensitive to a change in critical power. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 40:1693–1699
30. Demarle AP, Slawinski JJ, Laffite LP et al (2001) Decrease of  $O_2$  deficit is a potential factor in increased time to exhaustion after specific endurance training. *J Appl Physiol* 90:947–953
31. Bailey SJ, Wilkerson DP, Dimenna FJ et al (2009) Influence of repeated sprint training on pulmonary  $O_2$  uptake and muscle deoxygenation kinetics in humans. *J Appl Physiol* 106:1875–1887
32. Meyer RA (1988) A linear model of muscle respiration explains monoexponential phosphocreatine changes. *Am J Physiol* 254:C548–C553
33. Gillen JB, Martin BJ, MacInnis MJ et al (2016) Twelve weeks of sprint interval training improves indices of cardiometabolic health similar to traditional endurance training despite a five-fold lower exercise volume and time commitment. *PLoS One* 11(4):e0154075
34. Vollaard NBJ, Constantin-Teodosiu D, Fredriksson K et al (2009) Systematic analysis of adaptations in aerobic capacity and submaximal energy metabolism provides a unique insight into determinants of human aerobic performance. *J Appl Physiol* 106:1479–1486